The City of MIDWEST CITY
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Billy Harless, Community Development Director

# ANY PERSON REQUIRING SPECIAL ASSISTANCE OR OTHER REASONABLE ACCOMODATION TO ATTEND AND/OR FULLY PARTICIPATE IN ANY MEETING MUST CONTACT BRANDON BUNDY VIA EMAIL AT BBUNDY (a MIDWESTCITYOK.ORG OR PHONE AT 739-1220 AT LEAST TWENTY-FOUR (24) HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING. DURING A PUBLIC MEETING, CALL 739-1388 FOR ASSISTANCE. <br> AGENDA FOR THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE MIDWEST CITY <br> PLANNING COMMISSION <br> July 7, 2020 - 7:00 p.m. <br> City Council Chambers 

City Hall
100 North Midwest Boulevard

## A. CALL TO ORDER

B. ANNOUNCEMENT

1. Recognition of Stan Greil's service on the Midwest City Planning Commission.
C. MINUTES
2. Discussion and consideration of the minutes of the June 2, 2020 Planning Commission meeting.

## D. DISCUSSION

1. (PC-2045) Public hearing with discussion and consideration of ordinance to redistrict from R-6, Single Family Residential to R-HD, High Density Residential, for the property described as a part of the SW/4 of Section 12, T-11-N, R-2-W, located at 2500 South Douglas Blvd.
2. (PC-2046) Public hearing with discussion and consideration of an ordinance redistricting from Planned Unit Development (PUD) to Amended Planned Unit Development (PUD), for the property described as a part of the Lots 7-10 of the Thomas Acres Addition addressed as 9070 NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street.
3. (PC-2047) Discussion and consideration of approval of the proposed preliminary plat of Freedom Villas, described as a part of the SE/4 of Section 35, T12N, R2W, located at 8712 E. Main Street.
4. (PC-2048) Discussion and consideration of an ordinance amending Appendix A, Zoning Regulations, of the Midwest City Code; by amending Section 4.5.2, Light Industrial: Restricted; Section 4.9.2, Use Chart and providing for repealer and severability and setting an effective date.

## E. COMMISSION DISCUSSION

## F. PUBLIC DISCUSSION

## G. FURTHER INFORMATION

## H. ADJOURN

Notice of regular Midwest City Planning Commission meetings in 2020 was filed for the calendar year with the Midwest City Clerk prior to December 15, 2019 and copies of the agenda for this meeting were posted at City Hall at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting.

## MINUTES OF MIDWEST CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

## June 2, 2020-7:00 p.m.

This regular meeting of the Midwest City Planning Commission was held in the Council Chambers, 100 North Midwest Boulevard, Midwest City, Oklahoma County, Oklahoma, on June 2, 2020 at 7:00 p.m., with the following members present:

| Commissioners present: | Dean Hinton <br> Jess Huskey <br> Jim Smith |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jim Campbell |  | Commissioners absent: | Stan Greil |
| :--- |
| Russell Smith |
| Dee Collins |$|$| Billy Harless, Community Development Director |
| :--- |
| Staff present: |
| Kellie Gilles, Current Planning Manager <br> Brandon Bundy, City Engineer <br> Sarah Steward, Associate Current Planner |

The meeting was called to order by Commissioner Hinton at 7:02 p.m.

## A. CALL TO ORDER -

B. MINUTES

1. Motion was made by Huskey, seconded by J.Smith, to approve the minutes of the May 5, 2020 Planning Commission meeting as presented. Voting aye: Hinton, Huskey, J. Smith and Campbell. Nay: none. Motion carried.

## C. NEW MATTERS:

1. (PC-2043) Public hearing with discussion and consideration of an ordinance to redistrict from C-3, Community Commercial to SPUD, Simplified Planned Unit Development, governed by the C-4, General Commercial district, subject to staff comments, for the property addressed as 2224 S. Air Depot Boulevard.

Staff presented a brief overview of this item. Applicant David Box, 522 Colcord Dr., OKC, was present on behalf of owner Hunter Grace, LLC. There was general discussion about the item. A motion was made by Campbell, seconded by Huskey, to recommend approval of this item subject to staff comments. Voting aye: Hinton, Huskey, J. Smith and Campbell. Voting nay: none. Motion carried.
2. (PC-2044) Public hearing with discussion and consideration of approval of a resolution for a Special Use Permit to allow the use of Eating Establishment: Sit-Down, Alcoholic Beverages Permitted in the C-3, Community Commercial district, for the property described as a part of the SW/4 of Section 4 T11N, R2W, located at 6007 SE $15^{\text {th }}$ Street.

Staff presented a brief overview of this item. The applicant, Dave Zimmer of $351024^{\text {th }}$ Ave. NW, Norman, OK, was present. There was general discussion about the item. A motion was made by Huskey, seconded by J. Smith, to recommend approval of this item subject to staff comments. Voting aye: Hinton, Huskey, J. Smith, Campbell. Voting nay: none. Motion carried.
D. COMMISSION DISCUSSION: General Discussion.
E. PUBLIC DISCUSSION: None.
F. FURTHER INFORMATION: None.

There being no further matters before the Commission, motion to adjourn was made by Huskey, seconded by Campbell. Voting aye: Hinton, Huskey, J. Smith, Campbell. Voting nay: none. Motion carried.

The meeting adjourned at 7:16 p.m.
(KG)

The City of MIDWEST CITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Billy Harless, Community Development Director

## To: Chairman and Planning Commission

From: Billy Harless, Community Development Director
Date: July 7, 2020
Subject: (PC-2045) Public hearing with discussion and consideration of an ordinance to redistrict from R-6, Single Family Residential to R-HD, High Density Residential, for the property described as a part of the SW/4 of Section 12, T-11-N, R-2-W, located at 2500 South Douglas Blvd.

Executive Summary: In 1987, the west 200 feet of this property which fronts onto S. Douglas Blyd. was rezoned from single family residential to C-3, Community Commercial. A request to extend the C-3 zoning 150 ' to the east was approved in 2018.The rest of the parcel was unchanged and remains zoned as R-6, Single Family Detached Residential. The owners of the property are requesting to rezone the portion of the property that is currently zoned R-6, Single Family Detached Residential to R-HD, High Density Residential for multi-family residential development. The Future Land Use Map within the Comprehensive Plan identifies this area as HDR, High Density Residential. A preliminary plat for this entire parcel was approved in February 2020. If this rezoning request is approved, the applicant will need to apply to amend the preliminary plat to meet the requirements for multi-family residential development rather than single family residential. If this request is approved, the development will be required to meet all zoning, engineering, building and fire codes adopted by the City of Midwest City. Action is at the discretion of the Planning Commission and City Council.

Dates of Hearing: Planning Commission - July 7, 2020
City Council - July 28, 2020
Council Ward: Ward 2, Councilmember Pat Byrne
Owner: James Webster Trust
Applicant: Chris Webster
Proposed Use: Multi-family Residential


## Development Proposed by Comprehensive Plan:

Area of Request - High Density Residential (HDR)
North - Low Density Residential (LDR) and High Density Residential (HDR)
South - Office/Retail (OR) and Parks \& Open Space (POS)
East - Low Density Residential (LDR)
West - High Density Residential (HDR)

## Zoning Districts:

Area of Request - R-6, Single Family Residential
North - Planned Unit Development (PUD)
South and East - R-6, Single Family Detached Residential
West - C-3, Community Commercial

## Land Use:

Area of Request - vacant
North- Concord Apartments and single family residences
South-Vacant
East - single family residences
West - one single family residential structure

## Size:

The area of request has a frontage of approximately $335^{\prime}$ along the proposed street of StatusOne Dr. and a depth of approximately $910^{\prime}$ containing an area of approximately 6.89 acres, more or less.

Below is the current approved preliminary plat showing the proposed street of StatusOne Dr. If this request is approved, the preliminary plat will need to be re-heard by the Planning Commission and the Council but the design of the street providing access to the area of request will remain similar. The bulb of the cul-de-sac provides approximately 335' of frontage along StatusOne Drive.


## Municipal Code Citation:

### 2.10 R-HD, High Density Residential District

### 2.10.1. General Description

This residential district is intended to provide for a density of more than twenty (20) units per gross acre. The principal use of land is for a wide variety of dwelling types.

Related recreational, religious, and educational uses normally located to serve residential areas also are permitted to provide the basic elements of convenient, balanced, and attractive living areas.

## Comprehensive Plan Citation:

## High Density Residential Land Use

Traditional apartment-type units in attached living complexes characterize high density residential land use. There are currently several high density residential areas within Midwest City. It should be noted that medium density uses should also be permitted in any area designated for high density use.

## History:

1.This part of this parcel has been R-6, Single Family Detached Residential since the adoption of the 1985 zoning code.
2.The west 200 feet of the parcel were rezoned to C-3, Community Commercial in 1987 (PC-990).
3. The C-3, Community Commercial zoning was extended 150 ' to the east in May of 2018 (PC-1947).
4.A preliminary plat for the area of request was approved in February 2020 (PC-2039).

## Staff Comments:

## Engineer's report:

Note: This application is for rezoning of a portion of the previously approved preliminary plat of StatusOne located at 2500 S Douglas Boulevard.

The rezoning will be for a single large lot which is existing R-6.
The same engineering requirements as dictated in the preliminary plat application will be carried forward into this rezoning.

## Previous Waivers

At the preliminary plat, waivers were granted to the applicant based on the fact that this parcel would be R-6.

Sec. 38-44.6. 100-year floodplains.
(b) 100-year floodplain restrictions.
(3) All 100-year floodplains are subject to the following requirements for all types of development.
(a) The 100-year floodplain shall be dedicated on the final plat to the city as a single lot or may be owned and maintained by an HOA, pursuant to section 38 50. Homeowners' association (HOA) requirements, of this Subdivision Ordinance.
(b) At no time shall any portion of the 100-year floodplain exist or be within any single-family or two-family residential lot.
AND
Sec. 38-44.6. 100-year floodplains.
(f) Adjacent street types.
(3) Cul-de-sac streets.
(b) Cul-de-sac shall comply with the following criteria (See Figure 58: Cul-deSac Adjacent to a 100 -Year Floodplain).

1. A minimum fifty ( 50 ) percent of an adjacent cul-de-sac bulb shall be open to the 100-year floodplain and no residential lot shall encroach within the area between this line and the major creek.
2. An entry monument(s) or feature(s) as well as landscaping shall be provided at the end of the cul-de-sac and a pathway of a minimum twelve (12) feet in width shall be provided to the major creek as approved by the director of community development.


Figure 58
The waiver was granted with the stipulation that the developer dedicate a drainage, utility, and access easement in lieu of the requirement of a separate lot. This will be even more important with the proposed high density development. The City needs to have access to the floodway and utilities on the east side of the property. Any further development of this property will require that the municipal code is followed and that the property will be broken into lots with dedication of the floodway to the City.

## Water Improvements

There is a twelve (12) inch public water main running along the west side of Douglas Boulevard.

The applicant has proposed teeing into this water main, boring across Douglas Boulevard and extending an eight (8) inch public water main to all of the proposed lots as required in Municipal Code 43-32. The lines will be within the proposed right of way for StatusOne Dr.

Connection to the public water supply system for domestic service is a building permit requirement per Municipal Code 43-32 for all new buildings.

## Sanitary Sewerage Collection and Disposal

Connection to the public sanitary sewer system for domestic service is a building permit requirement per Municipal Code Chapter 43-109.

## Streets and Sidewalks

The proposed development is divided by a regulated creek which hinders access across the entire property.

Douglas Boulevard is listed as a primary arterial in the 2008 Comprehensive Plan. A right-of-way of 120 feet is required, 60 feet on each side of centerline with an addition ten (10) foot utility easement adjacent to the proposed development. It will be required to be dedicated on the final plat if not already existing.

The applicant proposes to construct a public local street, StatusOne Drive, with sidewalks to service the area of request. Access to all the lots is proposed of Douglas Boulevard via an approximate 420 foot long cul-de-sac called StatusOne Drive.
The comprehensive plan dictates the connection of internal streets within the square mile sections that are designated as future collector roads. The thoroughfare plan does not designate this area to contain a future collector road. The subdivision plan also requires the connection of roads in a proposed subdivision if the proposal contains fifty or more proposed lots. This application falls below that threshold at three lots. The applicant proposes to construct a public local street that is a cul de sac with one point of ingress / egress.

## Drainage and Flood Control, Wetlands, and Sediment Control

The proposed development is rolling with a creek bisecting the property and a ridge on the approximate western quarter. Drainage to the proposed development generally is as follows:

- A developed storm sewer exists running along the east side of Douglas Boulevard draining south to north. This line serves to drain the right-of-way and does not have a known capacity.
- Soldier Creek bisects the property, running from south to north. Soldier Creek is a regulated creek with the existence of regulated FEMA floodway and floodplain.
- On the southeast corner of the proposed development lies an outfall from a detention pond serving the Orchard $2^{\text {nd }}$ Addition. This outfall then runs across the proposed development via a natural channel to Soldier Creek. This drainage is contained within the regulated floodplain.
- Sheet flow comprises of the rest of the drainage both into and out of the proposed development.
All the drainage eventually flows into Soldier Creek. Currently, the proposed development tract has one (1) house but is otherwise undeveloped with no improvements or structures.
Detention will be required upon building permit.
The area of request is dissected by a regulated floodway and flood zone AE (the 100-year floodplain) as shown on the effective Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) number 40109 C 0330 H , dated December $18^{\text {th }}, 2009$.
No identified wetlands are located on or abutting the proposed development as shown on the National Wetlands Inventory, www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html prepared by the United States Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service, access October $15^{\text {th }}$, 2019.

All future development on the proposed tracts must conform to the applicable requirements of Municipal Code Chapter 13, "Drainage and Flood Control."
Resolution 84-20 requires that developers install and maintain sediment and/or erosion controls in conjunction with their construction activities. Any proposed development must conform to the applicable requirements of Municipal Code Chapter 43, "Erosion Control." Sediment control plans must be submitted to and approved by the city before any land disturbance is done on-site. The developer is responsible for the cleanup of sediment and other debris from drainage pipes, ditches, streets and abutting properties as a result of his activities.

A substantial amount of fill is being proposed with this development. No fill will be allowed in the regulatory floodway. A floodplain permit will be required and a FEMA approved LOMR-F (Letter of Map Revision - Fill).

## Easements and Right-of-Way

The required easements and existing right of way for the area of request are illustrated on the preliminary plat and will be dedicated to the city when the final plat is filed.
All easements and right of way dedications are to comply with Municipal Code Sections 38-43, 38-44, and 38-45.

A 15 ' Utility easement will be required to be dedicated along the southern property line per 38-48.15. (c)(2).

## Fire Marshal's report:

The property is required to meet and maintain the requirements of Midwest City Ordinances Section 15.
Other requirements will be reviewed once design/construction plans have been submitted.

## Plan Review Comments:

This is a request to rezone a portion (approximately 6.89 acres ) of the property to R-HD, High Density Residential to allow for multi-family development. Currently, the area of request is zoned R-6, Single Family Detached Residential. Although the applicant's immediate plans are to build a multi-family development on the west side of Soldier Creek, if this request is approved, another multi-family development could be built on the east side of the creek in the future.

If this request is approved, the development will be required to meet all regulations of the Zoning Ordinance including parking, exterior materials, landscaping, height, etc.

As mentioned previously, a preliminary plat was approved for the area of request in February 2020. That plat was reviewed with the eastern portion being zoned R-6, Single Family Detached Residential, allowing just one (1) single family residential structure. If this zoning is approved, the applicant is aware that the preliminary plat will need to be revised and re-heard by the Planning Commission and City Council to address requirements for platting for multi-family residential development.

The Future Land Use Map within the Comprehensive Plan does identify this area as High Density Residential. This request is compatible with the Future Land Use Map.

On June 30, 2020, the applicant hosted a meeting for the surrounding property owners at the Charles Johnson Building. Approximately 15 neighbors attended as well as the Mayor, Councilman Pat Byrne, Planning Commissioner Russell Smith and City staff. Neighbors expressed concerns about setbacks, the height of the apartment structures, drainage and property values. Per the Zoning Ordinance, the required side setback for multi-family units is $7^{\prime}$, however, the Subdivision Regulations require a minimum 15' perimeter easement in which no structure can be built. The applicant did state that he planned on designing the road along the north property line so that would create an even larger buffer between the multi-family structures and the abutting single family residential homes. During the platting process, tree preservation will also be required.

This will require that the applicant leave the mature trees in all areas of the site except those areas designated for infrastructure such as roads, utilities and drainage. The maximum height for multi-family units per the Zoning Ordinance is $45^{\prime}$ '. The applicant is not requesting a variance to this or any other portion of the code. During the platting/subdividing process, the applicant will be required to meet all codes regarding drainage and inspections will be conducted by engineering staff to ensure compliance.

Action is at the discretion of the Planning Commission and City Council.

## Action Required:

Approve or reject the ordinance to redistrict to R-HD, High Density Residential for the property as noted herein, subject to staff's comments as found in the July 7, 2020 agenda packet and made a part of PC-2045 file.

KG

# The City of <br> MIDWEST CITY 

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT - ENGINEERING DIVISION

William Harless, Community Development Director
Brandon Bundy, P.E., C.F.M.., City Engineer
To: Kellie Gilles, Plans Review Manager
From: Brandon Bundy, City Engineer
Date: June $22^{\text {nd }}, 2020$
Subject: Engineering staff comments for pc-2045 rezoning application

## ENGINEERING STAFF CODE CITATIONS AND COMMENTS - PC-2045:

Note: This application is for rezoning of a portion of the previously approved preliminary plat of StatusOne located at 2500 S Douglas Boulevard.
The rezoning will be for a single large lot which is existing R-6.
The same engineering requirements as dictated in the preliminary plat application will be carried forward into this rezoning.

## Previous Waivers

At the preliminary plat, waivers were granted to the applicant based on the fact that this parcel would be R-6.

Sec. 38-44.6. 100-year floodplains.
(b) 100-year floodplain restrictions.
(3) All 100-year floodplains are subject to the following requirements for all types of development.
(a) The 100-year floodplain shall be dedicated on the final plat to the city as a single lot or may be owned and maintained by an HOA, pursuant to section 38-50. Homeowners' association (HOA) requirements, of this Subdivision Ordinance.
(b) At no time shall any portion of the 100-year floodplain exist or be within any single-family or two-family residential lot.
AND
Sec. 38-44.6. 100-year floodplains.
(f) Adjacent street types.
(3) Cul-de-sac streets.
(b) Cul-de-sac shall comply with the following criteria (See Figure 58: Cul-de-Sac Adjacent

100 N. Midwest Boulevard, Midwest City, Oklahoma 73110
Engineering Division (405) 739-1220 X FAX (405)739-1399
to a 100-Year Floodplain).

1. A minimum fifty (50) percent of an adjacent cul-de-sac bulb shall be open to the 100 year floodplain and no residential lot shall encroach within the area between this line and the major creek.
2. An entry monument(s) or feature(s) as well as landscaping shall be provided at the end of the cul-de-sac and a pathway of a minimum twelve (12) feet in width shall be provided to the major creek as approved by the director of community development.


Figure 58
The waiver was granted with the stipulation that the developer dedicate a drainage, utility, and access easement in lieu of the requirement of a separate lot. This will be even more important with the proposed high density development. The City needs to have access to the floodway and utilities on the east side of the property. Any further development of this property will require that the municipal code is followed and that the property will be broken into lots with dedication of the floodway to the City.

## Water Improvements

There is a twelve (12) inch public water main running along the west side of Douglas Boulevard.
The applicant has proposed teeing into this water main, boring across Douglas Boulevard and extending an eight (8) inch public water main to all of the proposed lots as required in Municipal Code 43-32. The lines will be within the proposed right of way for StatusOne Dr.
Connection to the public water supply system for domestic service is a building permit requirement per Municipal Code 43-32 for all new buildings.

## Sanitary Sewerage Collection and Disposal

Connection to the public sanitary sewer system for domestic service is a building permit requirement per Municipal Code Chapter 43-109.

## Streets and Sidewalks

The proposed development is divided by a regulated creek which hinders access across the entire property.

Douglas Boulevard is listed as a primary arterial in the 2008 Comprehensive Plan. A right-of-way of 120 feet is required, 60 feet on each side of centerline with an addition ten (10) foot utility easement adjacent to the proposed development. It will be required to be dedicated on the final plat if not already existing.

The applicant proposes to construct a public local street, StatusOne Drive, with sidewalks to service the area of request. Access to all the lots is proposed of Douglas Boulevard via an approximate 420 foot long cul-de-sac called StatusOne Drive.
The comprehensive plan dictates the connection of internal streets within the square mile sections that are designated as future collector roads. The thoroughfare plan does not designate this area to contain a future collector road. The subdivision plan also requires the connection of roads in a proposed subdivision if the proposal contains fifty or more proposed lots. This application falls below that threshold at three lots. The applicant proposes to construct a public local street that is a cul de sac with one point of ingress / egress.

## Drainage and Flood Control, Wetlands, and Sediment Control

The proposed development is rolling with a creek bisecting the property and a ridge on the approximate western quarter. Drainage to the proposed development generally is as follows:

- A developed storm sewer exists running along the east side of Douglas Boulevard draining south to north. This line serves to drain the right-of-way and does not have a known capacity.
- Soldier Creek bisects the property, running from south to north. Soldier Creek is a regulated creek with the existence of regulated FEMA floodway and floodplain.
- On the southeast corner of the proposed development lies an outfall from a detention pond serving the Orchard $2^{\text {nd }}$ Addition. This outfall then runs across the proposed development via a natural channel to Soldier Creek. This drainage is contained within the regulated floodplain.
- Sheet flow comprises of the rest of the drainage both into and out of the proposed development.

All the drainage eventually flows into Soldier Creek. Currently, the proposed development tract has one (1) house but is otherwise undeveloped with no improvements or structures.

Detention will be required upon building permit.
The area of request is dissected by a regulated floodway and flood zone AE (the 100-year floodplain) as shown on the effective Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) number 40109 C 0330 H , dated December $18^{\text {th }}$, 2009.

No identified wetlands are located on or abutting the proposed development as shown on the National Wetlands Inventory, www.fws.gov/wetlands'data/Mapper.html prepared by the United States Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service, access October $15^{\text {th }}, 2019$.
All future development on the proposed tracts must conform to the applicable requirements of Municipal Code Chapter 13, "Drainage and Flood Control."
Resolution 84-20 requires that developers install and maintain sediment and/or erosion controls in conjunction with their construction activities. Any proposed development must conform to the applicable requirements of Municipal Code Chapter 43, "Erosion Control." Sediment control plans must be submitted to and approved by the city before any land disturbance is done on-site. The developer is responsible for the cleanup of sediment and other debris from drainage pipes, ditches, streets and abutting properties as a result of his activities.

A substantial amount of fill is being proposed with this development. No fill will be allowed in the regulatory floodway. A floodplain permit will be required and a FEMA approved LOMR-F (Letter of Map Revision - Fill).

## Easements and Right-of-Way

The required easements and existing right of way for the area of request are illustrated on the preliminary plat and will be dedicated to the city when the final plat is filed.
All easements and right of way dedications are to comply with Municipal Code Sections 38-43, 38-44, and 38-45.

A 15 ' Utility easement will be required to be dedicated along the southern property line per 38-48.15. (c)(2).

Re: PC - 2045

Date: 17 June 2020
PC-2045 is a request to rezone a portion of this lot from $R-6$, Single Family Residential to R-HD, High Density Residential for multifamily residential buildings.

- The property is required to meet and maintain the requirements of Midwest City Ordinances Section 15.
- Other requirements will be reviewed once design / construction plans have been submitted.

Respectfully,


Duane Helmberger
Fire Marshal
Midwest City Fire Department
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ORDINANCE NO.


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE RECLASSIFYING THE ZONING DISTRICT OF THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN THIS ORDINANCE TO R-HD, HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL, AND DIRECTING AMENDMENT OF THE OFFICIAL ZONING DISTRICT MAP TO REFLECT THE RECLASSIFICATION OF THE PROPERTY'S ZONING DISTRICT; AND PROVIDING FOR REPEALER AND SEVERABILITY


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA:

## ORDINANCE

SECTION 1. That the zoning district of the following described property is hereby reclassified to R-HD, High Density Residential, subject to the conditions contained in the PC-2045 file, and that the official Zoning District Map shall be amended to reflect the reclassification of the property's zoning district as specified in this ordinance:

A tract of land lying in the Southwest Quarter of Section Twelve (12), Township Eleven (11) North, Range Two (2) west of the Indian Meridian, Midwest City, Oklahoma County, Oklahoma, being more particularly described as follows:

COMMENCING at the Northwest corner of said Southwest Quarter; THENCE South $00^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ East, along the West line of said Southwest Quarter, a distance of 660.00 feet; THENCE North $89^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 410.00 feet to the point of beginning.
THENCE North $89^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 910.00 feet;
THENCE South $00^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 001^{\prime \prime}$ East a distance of 330.00 feet;
THENCE South $89^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 598^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 910.00 feet;
THENCE North $00^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ West a distance of 330.00 feet to the point of beginning.
SECTION 2. REPEALER. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SECTION 3. SEVERABILITY. If any section, sentence, clause or portion of this ordinance is for any reason held to be invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of the ordinance.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Mayor and Council of the City of Midwest City, Oklahoma, on the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2020.



- Come experience the ultimate standard in apartment living at The

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Residences Apartments, in Midwest City, Oklahoma. Our contemporary } \\
& \text { community is located near Interstate 40, less than one mile from Tinker AFB } \\
& \text { and just } 10 \text { miles east of downtown Oklahoma City making any commute a } \\
& \text { breeze. Everything you need is at your fingertips, top-notch schools, nice } \\
& \text { dining, shopping venues, and local entertainment attractions are all } \\
& \text { nearby. If quality and convenience are at the top of your list, you have } \\
& \text { come to the right place! }
\end{aligned}
$$



CREEKSIDE PARK, THE RESIDENCES

[^0]


Floor Plan Examples

- 3beds । з.0 baths 1545 SQ.ft.

- Clubhouse
- Business Center
- Copy and Fax Services
- Cable Available
- Easy Access to Freeways and
Shopping

PET FRIENDLY
Looking for a pet-friendly community? Look no further than The Residences
Apartments! As a pet-friendly community, we proudly allow cats and dogs
here at The Residences Apartments. Now your pet can relax by your side
and enjoy the comforts of your home.



$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.50 \mathrm{mi} \\
& 0.55 \mathrm{mil} \\
& 0.60 \mathrm{mi} \\
& 2.80 \mathrm{mi} \\
& 3.90 \mathrm{mi} \\
& 4.00 \mathrm{mi} \\
& 4.70 \mathrm{mi}
\end{aligned}
$$




$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{\xi} & \bar{\xi} & \bar{\xi} \\
\sim & \dot{n} & \infty \\
\dot{\sim} & \dot{N} & \infty
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{E} & \dot{\bar{E}} & \dot{\bar{E}} \\
\mathrm{y} & 0 & 0 \\
\dot{m} & \dot{\sim} & \dot{\sim}
\end{array}
$$

|  | BANKS \& SERVICES |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| - The First State Bank | .10 mi |
| - IBC Bank | .30 mi |
| - FNB Community Bank | .90 mi |
| = Sooner State Bank | 1.10 mi |
| - Post Office | 2.00 mi |
| - Fedex Office Print \& Ship | 3.00 mi |

NEIGHBORHOOD
1.4 mi
3.2 mi

# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT 

To: Chairman and Planning Commission
From: Billy Harless, Community Development Director
Date: July 7, 2020
Subject: (PC - 2046) Public hearing with discussion and consideration of an ordinance redistricting from Planned Unit Development (PUD) to Amended Planned Unit Development (PUD), for the property described as a part of the Lots 7-10 of the Thomas Acres Addition addressed as 9070 NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street.

Executive Summary: A PUD governed by the R-MD, Medium Density Residential district, for the area of request was approved in October 2019. There was much discussion regarding the design of the development at both the Planning Commission and City Council meetings. The Planning Commission recommended denial but the PUD was approved by the City Council. Since that time, the applicant has requested to make modifications to the approved PUD. These modifications include:

- Reconfiguring the design of the homes so that each dwelling unit has an attached garage. This was a concern during the original review as garages for both dwelling units of each duplex were placed at one end of the structure meaning the occupant of the unit on the other end would have to walk outside from their garage to their dwelling unit.
- The original PUD consisted of eleven (11) residential duplex structures with twenty-two (22) dwelling units. This request increases the density by adding one (1) more duplex structure with two (2) dwelling units.
- The 26 ' wide road has been shortened
- The storage units have increased in size
- Detention pond has been modified

All future maintenance of the private drive, detention pond, water and sewer house lines and all other portions of the development will be the responsibility of the property owner. A single private drive is proposed to provide access to each lot. Private water and sewer lines will be extended from the main public lines to serve each dwelling unit. Within this proposal, the applicant plans to retain ownership of the entire development and rent out individual dwelling units. Action is at the discretion of the Planning Commission and City Council.

Dates of Hearing: Planning Commission - July 7, 2020
City Council - July 28, 2020
Council Ward: Ward 5, Councilmember Christine C. Price Allen
Owner/Applicant: Adam Stephens

PC-2046
Proposed Use: 12 duplex structures ( 24 dwelling units) on one lot
Size: The area of request has a frontage along NE $13^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. of approximately 286 ft . and contains an area of approximately 2.04 acres.

## Development Proposed by Comprehensive Plan:

Area of Request - MDR, Medium Density Residential
North, South, East and West - LDR, Low Density Residential


## Zoning Districts:

Area of Request - Planned Unit Development (PUD) governed by R-MD, Medium Density Residential
North, South, East and West - R-6, Single Family Detached Residential

Land Use:
Area of Request - vacant
North - Vacant


East - single family residence


South - Vacant


West - vacant


## Comprehensive Plan Citation:

Medium Density Residential (MDR) Land Use
This use is representative of two-family, attached dwelling units, such as duplex units and townhomes. Medium density land uses often provide areas for "empty nesters" who may not want the maintenance of a large-lot single-family home and for young families who may find a townhome or duplex more affordable than a single-family home. It is anticipated that new areas for medium density land use will be developed in the future.

## Municipal Code Citation:

### 2.25 PUD, Planned Unit Development

2.25.1 General Provisions

The planned unit development, herein referred to as PUD, is a special zoning district category that provides an alternate approach to conventional land use controls to produce unique, creative, progressive, or quality land developments.
The PUD may be used for particular tracts or parcels of land that are under common ownership and are to be developed as one unit according to a master development plan. The PUD is subject to special review procedures within the PUD application and review and once approved by the City Council it becomes a special zoning classification for the property it represents.

### 2.25.2 Intent and Purpose

The intent and purpose of the PUD provisions are as follows:
(A) Innovative land development

Encourage innovative land development while maintaining appropriate limitations on the character and intensity of use, assuring compatibility with adjoining and proximate properties, and following the guidelines of the comprehensive plan.
(B) Flexibility within developments

Permit flexibility within the development to maximize the unique physical features of the particular site.
(C) Efficient use of land

Encourage efficient use of land, facilitate economic arrangements of buildings and circulation systems, and encourage diversified living environments and land uses.
(D) Function, design and diversity

Achieve a continuity of function and design within the development and encourage diversified living environments and land uses.
(E) Modifications to development requirements

Provide a vehicle for negotiating modifications in standard development requirements in order to both encourage innovative development and protect the health, safety and welfare of the community.

## History:

1. This property has been zoned residential since the adoption of the 1985 Zoning Map.
2. The area was platted as a part of the Thomas Acres addition in 1957.
3. A PUD governed by the R-MD, Medium Density Residential District was approved for the area of request October 22, 2019 (PC-2023).

## Staff Comments:

## Engineer's Report:

Note: This application is for amending the PUD previously approved in October 2019 (PC2023) for a development located at 9070 NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street. No engineering improvements are required with this application.

## Water Supply and Distribution

A six (6) inch public water main is located on the south side of NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street. Public water mains extend along the full frontage of this property and existing facility is connected to the city water main, therefore water line improvements are not required as outlined in Municipal Code 43-32.
A fire hydrant is shown in the proposed master site plan. Applicant can either extend public main with required easements or may use a private system subject that they meter at the public / private connection.

## Sanitary Sewerage Collection and Disposal

An eight (8) inch public sewer main is located along the entire north side and a portion of the east side of the proposed development. The public sewer main is accessible to this development. Sewer line improvements are not required as outlined in Municipal Code 12100

## Streets and Sidewalks

Access to the area of request is available off NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street which is classified as a local road in the 2008 Comprehensive Plan. NE 13 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street is a two lane, 22-foot-wide, uncurbed, asphalt roadway. Half street and sidewalk improvements along NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street will be required with a building permit submittal as outline in Municipal Code 37-67 and 38-45.

## Drainage and Flood Control, Wetlands, and Sediment Control

The area of request has a gentle fall to the northeast and is primarily field with a few trees. Topography is such that water sheet flows off the site to the north and east. There is no existing detention.
The area of request is entirely within flood zone AE as shown on Flood Insurance Rate map (FIRM) number 40109 C 0330 H , dated December $18^{\text {th }}, 2009$. None of the proposed development is affected by flood zone AE.
Detention will be required for this development and shall be prepared by a registered professional engineer and be submitted to staff for plan review and approval. The detention system proposed is entirely private with private storm pipes and private inlets. The outfall of this development appears to be in the northeast corner. This outfall proposed will flow onto neighbor's private property and as such, require substantial energy dissipation and redistribution of concentrated flow to sheet flow.

## Easements and Right-of-Way

As outlined in Municipal Code 38-45, a local road shall have a total right-of-way of fifty (50) feet, twenty-five (25) feet each side of center line. The area of request currently shows to have fifty (50) feet therefore no additional right of way will be required with this application.

## Fire Marshal's Report:

The Fire Marshal has reviewed this request. The property is required to meet and maintain the requirements of Midwest City Ordinances Section 15. Other requirements will be reviewed once design/construction plans have been submitted. The 10 ' wide access to the storage is required to be widened to a minimum of $12^{\prime}$ while also being able to support an anticipated load of $75,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. Fire flow requirements and hydrant location will be reviewed at a later date.

## Plan Review Comments:

This PUD is being requested in order to develop this 2.04 -acre site into a small, duplex community. The development will consist of 24 dwelling units/ 12 duplex structures, a storage building with individual units for use by the residents and a small maintenance garage.

As mentioned in the Executive Summary, a similar PUD was approved for the area of request in October 2019. There were concerns at both the Planning Commission and City Council hearings regarding the design of the structures, specifically the placement of the garages on one end of each structure. With the garages on one end of the structure, the occupant of the dwelling unit on the other end would have to walk outside to get from their driveway/garage to their dwelling unit. Although the PUD was approved, the applicant wanted to change the design to alleviate concerns raised by Planning Commissioners and Councilmembers.

The Zoning Ordinance does allow for the Community Development Director to approve minor changes to a PUD. As the design of the homes was a large concern at the Planning Commission and City Council meetings, it was determined that a change in design would need to be re-heard by the Planning Commission and City Council. There are other minor amendments between this application and the approved PUD listed in the executive summary.

The dwelling units will be three-bedroom units housed in 12 duplex structures. Each dwelling unit will have an attached single car garage.

A variance is requested to allow a $5^{\prime}$ setback on the east and west sides of the property. Code requires a minimum 7' side setback. A 5' setback was approved with the original PUD.

The private drive providing access to each of the residential structures will be required to be built to Midwest City standards but will remain private. The Master Development Plan approved with the previous PUD was approved with rollover curbs. The site plan submitted with this application does not show rollover curbs.

The PUD is to be governed by the R-MD, Medium Density Residential zoning district. Section 5.2.4 (H) of the Zoning Ordinance requires that sight-proof screening be provided between medium density and single family residential districts. This will require that the applicant provide sight-proof screening along the north, east and west sides of the property. The maximum height for stockade fences is $8^{\prime}$. Metal poles are required. Metal panel fencing is prohibited. The sight-proof screening must be installed prior to use of the property.

Regarding landscaping, the PUD states that the landscaping will meet MWC codes. A minimum of two (2) trees are required for each dwelling unit. The trees should be a minimum of $21 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ caliper at the time of planting.

The property is already platted as lots 7-10 of the Thomas Acres Addition. The applicant plans to keep the development under single ownership and does not plan to replat the property into individual lots for each duplex structure. Section 2.0 of the proposed PUD inaccurately states the legal description as Section 24. Other sections of the PUD and other references correctly identify the property legal description as Section 25.

As required by the Zoning Ordinance, notice was sent to all property owners within 300 feet of the proposed development and published in the Journal Record. As of this writing, staff has received no inquiries or protest.

If this application is denied, the PUD that was approved in October 2019 would remain in effect and the applicant could apply for building permits in accordance with that previously approved design. In accordance with the Zoning Ordinance, if no progress is made three (3) years from the date of PUD approval, the PUD becomes void and the zoning reverts back to what is was prior to the PUD approval. In this case, the land would revert back to R-6, Single Family Detached Residential.

Action is at the discretion of the Planning Commission and City Council.
Action Required: Approve or reject the ordinance to redistrict to Amended Planned Unit Development for the property as noted herein, subject to the staff comments and recommendations as found in the July 7, 2020 agenda packet and made a part of PC-2046 file.


Billy Hashes, AICP
Community Development Director
KG

The City of MIDWEST CITY<br>COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT - ENGINEERING DIVISION<br>William Harless, Community Development Director<br>Brandon Bundy, P.E., C.F.M., City Engineer<br>To: Kellie Gilles, Plans Review Manager<br>From: Brandon Bundy, City Engineer<br>Date: June $22^{\text {nd }}, 2020$

Subject: Engineering staff comments for pc-2046 application to amend the PUD.

## ENGINEERING STAFF CODE CITATIONS AND COMMENTS - PC-2046:

Note: This application is for amending the PUD previously approved in October 2019 (PC-2023) for a development located at $9070 \mathrm{NE} 13^{\text {th }}$ Street. No engineering improvements are required with this application.

## Water Supply and Distribution

A six (6) inch public water main is located on the south side of NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street. Public water mains extend along the full frontage of this property and existing facility is connected to the city water main, therefore water line improvements are not required as outlined in Municipal Code 43-32.

A fire hydrant is shown in the proposed master site plan. Applicant can either extend public main with required easements or may use a private system subject that they meter at the public / private connection.

## Sanitary Sewerage Collection and Disposal

An eight (8) inch public sewer main is located along the entire north side and a portion of the east side of the proposed development. The public sewer main is accessible to this development. Sewer line improvements are not required as outlined in Municipal Code 43-109.

## Streets and Sidewalks

Access to the area of request is available off NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street which is classified as a local road in the 2008 Comprehensive Plan. NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street is a two lane, 22 -foot-wide, uncurbed, asphalt roadway. Half street and sidewalk improvements along NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street will be required with a building permit submittal as outline in Municipal Code 37-67 and 38-45.

## Drainage and Flood Control, Wetlands, and Sediment Control

The area of request has a gentle fall to the northeast and is primarily field with a few trees. Topography is such that water sheet flows off the site to the north and east. There is no existing detention.
The area of request is entirely within flood zone AE as shown on Flood Insurance Rate map (FIRM) number 40109 C 0330 H , dated December $18^{\text {th }}, 2009$. None of the proposed development is affected by flood zone AE.

Detention will be required for this development and shall be prepared by a registered professional engineer and be submitted to staff for plan review and approval. The detention system proposed is entirely private with private storm pipes and private inlets. The outfall of this development appears to be in the northeast corner. This outfall proposed will flow onto neighbor's private property and as such, require substantial energy dissipation and redistribution of concentrated flow to sheet flow.

## Easements and Right-of-Way

As outlined in Municipal Code 38-45, a local road shall have a total right-of-way of fifty (50) feet, twenty five (25) feet each side of center line. The area of request currently shows to have fifty (50) feet therefore no additional right of way will be required with this application.

Re: PC - 2046

Date: 17 June 2020
PC-2046 is a request to amend a PUD. The Preston Grove PUD was approved in October 2019.

- The property is required to meet and maintain the requirements of Midwest City Ordinances Section 15.
- Other requirements will be reviewed once design / construction plans have been submitted.
- The 10 ' wide access to the storage is required to be widened to a minimum of 12 feet while also being able to support an anticipated load of $75,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.
- Fire flow requirements and hydrant location will be reviewed at a later date.

Respectfully,


Duane Helmberger
Fire Marshal
Midwest City Fire Department

[^1]
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The Planned Unit Development of Preston Grove Community consists of 2.04 acres and is located on the north side of NE $13^{\text {th }}$ and Douglas Boulevard and Post road, in Midwest City. The property is further described as, Thomas Acres a part of SW 1/4, section 25, Twp 12N R-2-W Oklahoma County, Oklahoma.

## SECTION 2.0 Legal Descriptions

The legal description of the property contained within this Planned Unite Development is as follows: Part of Thomas Acres NW 1/4, SW1/4, Section 25, Twp 12N R-2-W Oklahoma County, Oklahoma, Being more particularly described as follow:

Commencing at the Northwest $1 / 4$, Southwest $1 / 4$, Section 24 , Township 12 North, Range 2 West of the Indian Meridian.

## SECTION $3.0 \quad$ Owner/Developer

The owner and developer of this property described in Section 2.0 is Preston Grove Communities LLC.

## SECTION 4.0 Site and Surrounding Area

The subject property is presently undeveloped. The subject property is zoned PUD governed by R-MD Medium Density residential. The site is bound on the west by a residential tract of land zoned R-6 Single Family Residential; on the north by a residential tract of land currently zoned R-6 Single Family Residential; on the east by a residential tract of land currently zoned R-6 Single Family Residential; and the south access across NE $13^{\text {th }}$ R-6 Single Family Residential. Surrounding properties are zoned and used for:

West: R-6 Single Family Residential
North: R-6 Single Family Residential
East: R-6 Single Family Residential
South: R-6 Single Family Residential

## SECTION 6.0 Concept

The concept for this PUD is R-MD Residential Medium Density. This PUD proposes a development of 2.04 acres.

## SECTION 6.1 Development and Subdivision Variations

Requesting variance to side setbacks on east and west boundaries from $7^{\prime}$ to $5^{\prime}$.
SECTION $7.0 \quad$ Service Availability

## SECTION 7.1 Streets

The proposed subdivision will accessible only from NE $13^{\text {th }}$

SECTION 7.2 Sanitary Sewer
An 8 -inch sewer line is located along the north property line of existing lots 7,8,9,10 and along the west side of lots 5 and 6 .

SECTION 7.3 Water
A 6 -inch water line is located along the south side right of way of NE $13^{\text {th }}$, south of south property line of existing lots $7,8,9,10$. The developer will connect to an existing water line south of the property.

SECTION 7.4 Fire Protection
The nearest fire station to this property is located at 8712 NE $10^{\text {th }}$ Street, approximately 0.7 miles to the southwest.

SECTION 7.5 Gas Service, Electrical Service, and Telephone Service
Proper coordination with the various utility companies will be made in conjunction with this development.

SECTION 7.6 Drainage

The property within this PUD is not within a FEMA 100-year flood plain.
Development will comply with the Midwest City Municipal Code.
SECTION 8.0 Use and Development Regulations
The use and development of the R-MD shall govern this PUD, except as herein modified, including accessory uses subject to their appropriate conditions and review procedures for public hearings where applicable, unless otherwise noted herein. The original intended primary use is Duplex Units.

SECTION 9.0 Special Regulations

SECTION 9.1 Landscaping Regulations
The subject parcel shall meet all requirements of the City of Midwest City Landscaping Ordinance in place at the time of the development.

SECTION 9.3 Access Regulations
There will be a driveway access to Duplex units from SE $13^{\text {th }}$ street in this PUD.

SECTION 9.4 Common Areas
Maintenance of all common areas in the development and maintenance of all amenities located within the common areas shall be the responsibility of the property owner. Structures, storage facilities, storage of materials, grading, fill or other obstructions, including fencing, whether temporary or permanent, will be allowed as long as pervious stated does not cause as, but not limited to, walks, benches, and docks, shall be permitted if installed in a manner to meet the requirements specified above.

SECTION 9.5 Platting
Parcel will remain as is and is not being re-platted.

## 13th Street Village

## PRELIMINARY DETENTION REPORT

R 2 W


## LOCATION MAP

> 9070 NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street
> Midwest City, OK
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## REPORT SUMMARY

## Project Description

This project will consist of the development of an approximate 2.04 acre site as a quad-plex, residential development. The project site is located at 9070 NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street, which is in the vicinity of NE $13^{\text {th }}$ Street and Douglas Blvd, with the aliquot description being a part of the Southwest Quarter (SW/4) of Section Twenty-five (25), Township Twelve (12) North, Range Two (2) West of the Indian Meridian, Oklahoma County, Oklahoma.

This Detention Report addresses the design and control of the storm water runoff for the proposed development to meet the City of Midwest City drainage ordinance.

## HISTORIC DRAINAGE SUMMARY

The existing site is currently undeveloped and consists of a vegetative grass cover. Storm water runoff from the site historically flows across the site from the southwest corner of the site to the northeast corner.

## PROPOSED DRAINAGE SUMMARY

The detention pond for this project will be located near the northeast corner of the site. The detention pond has been designed to accommodate the stormwater runoff of the proposed development. The majority of runoff from the developed area will be routed through the detention pond. A small portion of the site will bypass the pond and will discharge onto the adjacent property to the east. A minimum of $70 \%$ impervious area was used to determine run-off coefficient per City of Midwest City requirements. The drainage areas and the detention pond location are shown on the Proposed Drainage Area Map in the Appendix to this report.

## DETENTION SUMMARY

Detention is required and will be provided by an on-site detention pond located near the northeast corner of the development by constructing a berm and an outlet structure. The outlet structure will limit the discharge from the site to historic conditions for the 100-year storm event.

HydroCAD, Storm Water Modeling software, in conjunction with the Rational Method, has been used to show that the proposed pond will provide the required storm water detention for the 100-year frequency rainfall event. Based on the Preliminary Detention Calculations, the calculated discharge from the detention pond will not exceed historic discharge rate. Supporting calculations are included in the Appendix to this report.

## CONCLUSIONS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

The included detention calculations show that the proposed detention structure will provide a system that meets the requirements of the City of Midwest City drainage ordinance.

It is hereby requested that the City of Midwest City accept and approve this Preliminary Detention Report and authorize this project to proceed to the design and construction phases.

APPENDIX


## DETENTION CALCULATIONS






Time span $=0.00-3.00 \mathrm{hrs}, \mathrm{dt}=0.01 \mathrm{hrs}, 301$ points
Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall $=1.0 / 1.0 \times$ Tc
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

| Subcatchment B: Bypass | Runoff Area $=0.520$ ac $0.00 \%$ Impervious Runoff Depth $=1.67$ " $\mathrm{T}=5.00 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{C}=0.70$ Runoff $=2.51 \mathrm{cfs} 0.072$ af |
| :---: | :---: |
| Subcatchment P: Proposed to Pond 1 | Runoff Area $=1.520$ ac $0.00 \%$ impervious Runoff Depth $=1.67^{\prime \prime}$ $\mathrm{T}=14.50 \mathrm{~min} \mathrm{C}=0.70$ Runoff $=7.32 \mathrm{cfs} 0.212$ af |
| Pond DP: Pond 1 | Peak Elev=1,248.97' Storage $=2,850$ of $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inflow }=7.32 \text { cfs } 0.212 \text { af } \\ & \text { Outlow }=5.29 \text { cfs } 0.212 \text { af }\end{aligned}$ |
| Link C: Total Site Discharge | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inflow=7.57 cfs } 0.284 \text { af } \\ & \text { Primary=7.57 cfs } 0.284 \text { af } \end{aligned}$ |

Total Runoff Area $=2.040$ ac Runoff Volume $=0.284$ af Average Runoff Depth $=1.67^{\prime \prime}$ $100.00 \%$ Pervious $=2.040$ ac $0.00 \%$ Impervious $=0.000$ ac

## Summary for Subcatchment B: Bypass

Runoff $=\quad 2.51 \mathrm{cfs} @ 0.09 \mathrm{hrs}$, Volume $=0.072 \mathrm{af}$, Depth $=1.67^{\prime \prime}$

Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall $=1.0 / 1.0 \times T \mathrm{~T}$, Time Span $=0.00-3.00 \mathrm{hrs}, \mathrm{dt}=0.01 \mathrm{hrs}$ OK-Midwest City 100 -Year Duration=21 min, Inten=6.83 in $/ \mathrm{hr}$

| Area |  | Description |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.520 \quad 0.70$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.520 |  | 100.00\% Pervious Area |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Tc} \\ (\mathrm{~min}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Length (feet) | Slope (ft/ft) | Velocity (ft/sec) | Capacity (cfs) | Description |
| 5.00 |  |  |  |  | Direct Entry |

Subcatchment B: Bypass
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Summary for Subcatchment P: Proposed to Pond 1
Runoff $=7.32$ cfs @ 0.25 hrs, Volume= $\quad 0.212$ af, Depth= $1.67^{\prime \prime}$
Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 $\times$ Tc, Time Span= $0.00-3.00 \mathrm{hrs}, \mathrm{dt}=0.01 \mathrm{hrs}$ OK-Midwest City 100 -Year Duration=21 $\mathbf{~ m i n}$, Inten=6.83 in $/ \mathrm{hr}$


Subcatchment P: Proposed to Pond 1


QRunoff
OK-Midwest City 100-Year
Duration $=21 \mathrm{~min}$, Inten=6.83 in/hr Runoff Area=1.520 ac Runoff Volume $=0.212$ af Runoff Depth=1.67"
$\mathrm{Tc}=14.50 \mathrm{~min}$ $\mathrm{C}=0.70$

Time (hours)


20200526_13th St Village_PreliOK-Midwest City 100-Year Duration=21 min, Inten=6.83 in/hr Prepared by Grubbs Consulting, LLC

Printed 5/28/2020
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## Pond DP: Pond 1

Hydrograph


20200526_13th St Village_PreliOK-Midwest City 100-Year Duration=21 min, Inten=6.83 in/hr Prepared by Grubbs Consulting, LLC
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## Summary for Link C: Total Site Discharge

| Inflow Area $=$ | 2.040 ac, | $0.00 \%$ Impervious, Inflow Depth $=1.67^{\prime \prime} \quad$ for $100-$ Year event |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Inflow | $=$ | $7.57 \mathrm{cfs} @$ | 0.35 hrs , Volume $=$ | 0.284 af |
| Primary | $=$ | $7.57 \mathrm{cfs} @$ | 0.35 hrs , Volume $=$ | 0.284 af , Atten $=0 \%$, Lag $=0.0 \mathrm{~min}$ |

Primary outflow $=$ Inflow, Time Span $=0.00-3.00 \mathrm{hrs}, \mathrm{dt}=0.01 \mathrm{hrs}$
Link C: Total Site Discharge


PC-2046

ORDINANCE NO. $\qquad$
AN ORDINANCE RECLASSIFYING THE ZONING DISTRICT OF THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN THIS ORDINANCE TO AMENDED PUD, PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT AND DIRECTING AMENDMENT OF THE OFFICIAL ZONING DISTRICT MAP TO REFLECT THE RECLASSIFICATION OF THE PROPERTY'S ZONING DISTRICT; AND PROVIDING FOR REPEALER AND SEVERABILITY

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA:

## ORDINANCE

SECTION 1. That the zoning district of the following described property is hereby reclassified to Amended PUD, Planned Unit Development, subject to the conditions contained in the PC2046 file, and that the official Zoning District Map shall be amended to reflect the reclassification of the property's zoning district as specified in this ordinance:

> Lots 7-10 of the Thomas Acres Addition, part of the SW/4 of Section 25, T12N, R2W, City of Midwest City, OK

SECTION 2. REPEALER. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.
SECTION 3. SEVERABILITY. If any section, sentence, clause or portion of this ordinance is for any reason held to be invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of the ordinance.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Mayor and Council of the City of Midwest City, Oklahoma, on the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2020.

THE CITY OF MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA

MATTHEW D. DUKES II, Mayor
ATTEST:

SARA HANCOCK, City Clerk
APPROVED as to form and legality this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2020.
Heather Poole, City Attorney

The City of MIDWEST CITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Billy Harless, Community Development Director

## To: Chairman and Planning Commission

From: Billy Harless, Community Development Director
Date: July 7, 2020
Subject: (PC - 2047) Discussion and consideration of approval of the proposed preliminary plat of Freedom Villas, described as a part of the SE/4 of Section 35, T12N, R2W, located at 8712 E. Main Street.

Executive Summary: This item is a request to subdivide a single parcel into nineteen (19) individual parcels for two-family residential development. The property is currently zoned R-HD, High Density Residential. This zoning does allow for the development of duplexes. The applicant is proposing water, sewer and street extensions to serve all of the lots. No variances to any of the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance for development in the R-HD district will be allowed within this development. The Park Land Review Committee recommended approval of a fee to be paid in lieu of park land dedication. Tree preservation will not be required with this subdivision as the land area is less than five (5) acres. Action is at the discretion of the Planning Commission and City Council.


Dates of Hearing:
Planning Commission July 7, 2020
City Council - July 28, 2020

Council Ward: Ward 3, Councilmember Bowen

Applicant: Tinker Villas LLC

Engineer: Mid-Del Group Homes

Representative: Grubbs Consulting LLC

Proposed Use: Nineteen
(19) two-family
residential lots

## Size:

The area of request has a frontage along East Main Street of approximately 330 ft . and contains an area of approximately 4.79 acres.

## Zoning Districts:

Area of Request - R-HD, High Density Residential
North - R-6, Single Family Detached Residential with a Special Use Permit for a fraternal lodge
South - PUD
East - C-3, Community Commercial and R-HD, High Density Residential
West - R-6, Single Family Detached Residential
Land Use:
Area of Request - one (1) vacant residential structure
North - lodge
South - North Oaks quad-plexes
East - warehouse
West - single family residence

## Municipal Code Citation:

### 2.10.1. R-HD, High Density Residential District - General Description

This residential district is intended to provide for a density of more than twenty (20) units per gross acre. The principal use of land is for a wide variety of dwelling types. Related recreational, religious, and educational uses normally located to service residential areas also are permitted to provide the basic elements of convenient, balanced, and attractive living areas.

38-18.1. Purpose
The purpose of a Preliminary Plat shall be to determine the general layout of the subdivision, the adequacy of public facilities needed to serve the intended development, and the overall compliance of the land division with applicable requirements of the Subdivision Ordinance.

## History:

1. (PC-699) This property was rezoned from R-1 to R-4, Medium Density with a Special Use Permit for a Group Home in 1984.
2. (PC-1604) An application for a Special Use Permit for a Community Based Care Facility was stricken on January 10, 2006.
3. The official 2010 Zoning Map identified this area as R-HD, High Density Residential with a Special Use Permit for a group home.

## Engineer's Comments:

Note: This application is for a preliminary plat of Freedom Villas located at 8712 E Main Street.
Section 38-18 in the Subdivision Regulations requires all existing and proposed utility lines and public improvements be reflected on the preliminary plat or accompanying plan. The proposed public utility line installations required with this application are shown on the plat, must be constructed and will be dedicated to the city prior to the final plat application.

## Water Improvements

There is a six (6) inch public water main running along the south side of E Main Street and a six (6) inch public water main runs along the east side of the proposed development.
The applicant has proposed connecting to the existing waterline running along the east property and at the existing waterline on E Main Street; looping the system. The proposed extension will be an eight (8) inch public waterline. New eight (8) inch public water main would then extend to all additional proposed lots as required in Municipal Code 43-32. The lines will be within the proposed right of way of the proposed streets.
Connection to the public water supply system for domestic service is a building permit requirement per Municipal Code 43-32 for all new buildings.

## Sanitary Sewer Collection and Disposal

The proposed development has access to an existing eight (8) inch public sewer line at the north side of E Main St on the west edge of the proposed development.
The applicant has proposed constructing a public sewer main providing service to all of the proposed lots by extension of the eight (8) inch line running along proposed streets and utilizing existing sanitary sewers.
Connection to the public sanitary sewer system for domestic service is a building permit requirement per Municipal Code Chapter 43-109 for all lots.

## Streets and Sidewalks

E Main Street is listed as a local road in the 2008 Comprehensive Plan. A right-of-way of 50 feet is required, 25 feet on each side of centerline with an additional ten (10) foot utility easement adjacent to the proposed development. It will be required to be dedicated on the final plat if not already existing.


Looking west on E Main Street. Curb and Gutter existing but no sidewalk.


Looking east on E Main Street. Open paved ditch/ Inlet at Northeast corner.

The applicant proposes to construct two public streets in a hammerhead configuration; Spirit Drive and Patriot Drive. All the lots in the proposed development will front onto the new streets with limits of no access to those lots bordering E Main Street. Additionally, the applicant proposed to make half street improvements along E Main Street the length of the proposed development.
All improvements will include sidewalks. This sidewalk will be required to be built prior to the final plat application as per Section 38-47.2. Any work to the existing drives or sidewalk will require current Midwest City standard.
Improvement plans for the street and sidewalks must be prepared by a registered professional engineer and be submitted to staff for plan review and approval.

The comprehensive plan dictates the connection of internal streets within the square mile sections that are designated as future collector roads. The thoroughfare plan does not designate this area to contain a future collector road. The applicant proposes to construct two public local streets that are dead ends with one point of ingress / egress; Spirit Drive is 500 feet long.

## Drainage and Flood Control, Wetlands, and Sediment Control

The proposed development is gently sloped to the northwest. Existing property drains via sheet flow to the west and to the north where is picked up by the curbing along E Main Street. Drainage to the proposed development generally is as follows:

- A developed storm sewer system runs along the south side of E Main Street.
- On the northeast corner of the property, there is an open paved ditch junction which accepts water as an inlet off E Main Street and a pipe running off the eastern property.
- The outflow of this paved junction then runs west $\sim 640^{\prime}$ via piping to an existing drainage box and then ultimately north to Soldier Creek Tributary 4.
- Drainage which does not fall into the above system sheet flows across the proposed development and then the neighboring property to the west; ultimately making its way to curbing along E Main Street. The curbing then takes the water to the same existing drainage box as the above pipe system.
All the drainage eventually flows into Soldier Creek via Soldier Creek Tributary 4. Currently, the proposed development is undeveloped with no improvements.


Open ditch / inlet to be improved with development. Entirely enclosed with new inlet
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Looking south along east boundary. Note fall towards north and West

July 7, 2020


Western edge of proposed development. Some bypass proposed to fall onto this property.

The applicant has proposed that a common detention pond handle most of the drainage and a few areas where drainage would bypass the common system. The applicant has proposed the following improvements:

- A common detention pond which would accept 3.6 acres ( $65 \%$ of the 5.52 total drainage acreage). The detention pond will outflow via a piped weir and into the existing piped system on E Main Street
- Bypass areas
- The developer will also enclose the paved ditch with a junction box and inlet combination. This will be required as part of the development. 0.2 acres would flow directly onto E Main Street in the northeast corner.
- 0.34 acres a proposed to flow from 2 lots into an inlet proposed on the backside of the common detention pond. This inlet will take the water to the existing piped system on E Main Street.
- 0.92 acres are proposed to sheet flow directly to the neighboring property to the west. These will be backyards of four lots. Sheet flow would be required and not allow any concentration of flow.
- 0.46 acres are proposed to flow to the neighboring west property. Staff will require that the developer work with the property owner of the neighboring west property to understand the impacts. If needed, mitigation will be required in the form of further energy dissipation and / or distribution of the drainage flow.

The area of request has no identified flood zones or floodway as shown on the effective Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) number 40109 C 0330 H , dated December $18^{\text {th }}, 2009$. The National Wetlands Inventory, www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html prepared by the United States Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service, access October $15^{\text {th }}$, 2019 has not identified any riparian or wetland areas:
All future development on the proposed tracts must conform to the applicable requirements of Municipal Code Chapter 13, "Drainage and Flood Control."
Resolution 84-20 requires that developers install and maintain sediment and/or erosion controls in conjunction with their construction activities. Any proposed development must conform to the applicable requirements of Municipal Code Chapter 43, "Erosion Control." Sediment control plans must be submitted to and approved by the city before any land disturbance is done on-site.

The developer is responsible for the cleanup of sediment and other debris from drainage pipes, ditches, streets and abutting properties as a result of his activities.

## Easements and Right-of-Way

The required easements and existing right of way for the area of request are illustrated on the preliminary plat and will be dedicated to the city when the final plat is filed.
All easements and right of way dedications are to comply with Municipal Code Sections $38-43,38-44$, and 38-45.

## Fire Marshal's Comments:

The Fire Department has reviewed this preliminary plat. The property is required to meet and maintain the requirements of Midwest City Ordinances, Section 15. Other requirements will be reviewed once design/construction plans have been submitted. Fire flow requirements and hydrant locations will be reviewed at a later date during the design and construction phase.

## Planning Comments:

The purpose of this preliminary plat is to create nineteen (19) two-family residential lots. The area of request is zoned R-HD, High Density Residential which does allow for the use of duplexes. If this proposed subdivision is approved, all development will be required to meet the regulations for the R-HD district as stated in the Zoning Ordinance. These regulations include:

- The exterior of each home must be constructed of a minimum of $85 \%$ masonry materials, $100 \%$ facing the street
- $25^{\prime}$ front setback, $20^{\prime}$ rear setback, 7 ' side setbacks
- $50 \%$ maximum building coverage
- Minimum 5:12 roof pitch
- Two trees planted in front of the front building line for each lot

One new curb-cut along East Main Street will provide access to the area of request. As this subdivision is creating less than 50 lots, a secondary point of access is not required.

The Park Land Review Committee met on June 16, 2020 to review the proposed subdivision. As the subdivision is anticipated to generate far less than 2,500 new residents, the code does allow the applicant to pay a fee in lieu of a park land dedication. The applicant requested the fee in lieu option and the committee voted to approve the request. The fee will be determined by staff and the applicant as required by code and paid prior to application for the final plat.

It should be noted that the Special Use Permit (SUP) for a group home for this property is no longer valid as the group home has not been in operation over the past twelve (12) months. The Zoning Ordinance states that if a use allowed by SUP has been discontinued or abandoned for a period of twelve (12) months, the SUP shall expire by default.

The existing structure on the property must be demolished prior to application of a final plat. A demolition permit should be applied for through Community Development.

This preliminary plat does meet the requirements of the subdivision regulations. Action is at the discretion of the Planning Commission and City Council.

Action Required: Approve or reject the preliminary plat of Freedom Villas located on the property as noted herein, subject to the staff comments and found in the July 7, 2020 agenda packet and made a part of PC- 2047 file.


Billy Harless, AICP
Community Development Director
KG

## The City of

## MIDWEST CITY

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT - ENGINEERING DIVISION

William Harless, Community Development Director
Brandon Bundy, P.E., C.F.M., City Engineer
To: Kellie Gilles, Plans Review Manager
From: Brandon Bundy, City Engineer
Date: June $22^{\text {nd }}, 2020$
Subject: Engineering staff comments for pc-2047 preliminary plat application

## ENGINEERING STAFF CODE CITATIONS AND COMMENTS - PC-2047:

Note: This application is for a preliminary plat of Freedom Villas located at 8712 E Main Street.
Section 38-18 in the Subdivision Regulations requires all existing and proposed utility lines and public improvements be reflected on the preliminary plat or accompanying plan. The proposed public utility line installations required with this application are shown on the plat, must be constructed and will be dedicated to the city prior to the final plat application.

## Water Improvements

There is a six (6) inch public water main running along the south side of E Main Street and a six (6) inch public water main runs along the east side of the proposed development.

The applicant has proposed connecting to the existing waterline running along the east property and at the existing waterline on E Main Street; looping the system. The proposed extension will be an eight (8) inch public waterline. New eight (8) inch public water main would then extend to all additional proposed lots as required in Municipal Code 43-32. The lines will be within the proposed right of way of the proposed streets.

Connection to the public water supply system for domestic service is a building permit requirement per Municipal Code 43-32 for all new buildings.

## Sanitary Sewerage Collection and Disposal

The proposed development has access to an existing eight (8) inch public sewer line at the north side of E Main St on the west edge of the proposed development.
The applicant has proposed constructing a public sewer main providing service to all of the proposed lots by extension of the eight (8) inch line running along proposed streets and utilizing existing sanitary sewers.
Connection to the public sanitary sewer system for domestic service is a building permit requirement per Municipal Code Chapter 43-109 for all lots.

## Streets and Sidewalks

E Main Street is listed as a local road in the 2008 Comprehensive Plan. A right-of-way of 50 feet is required, 25 feet on each side of centerline with an additional ten (10) foot utility easement adjacent to the proposed development. It will be required to be dedicated on the final plat if not already existing.


Looking west on E Main Street. Curb and Gutter existing but no sidewalk.


Looking east on E Main Street. Open paved ditch / inlet at Northeast corner.

The applicant proposes to construct two public streets in a hammerhead configuration; Spirit Drive and Patriot Drive. All the lots in the proposed development will front onto the new streets with limits of no access to those lots bordering E Main Street. Additionally, the applicant proposed to make half street improvements along E Main Street the length of the proposed development.

All improvements will include sidewalks. This sidewalk will be required to be built prior to the final plat application as per Section 38-47.2. Any work to the existing drives or sidewalk will require current Midwest City standard.

Improvement plans for the street and sidewalks must be prepared by a registered professional engineer and be submitted to staff for plan review and approval.

The comprehensive plan dictates the connection of internal streets within the square mile sections that are designated as future collector roads. The thoroughfare plan does not designate this area to contain a future collector road. The applicant proposes to construct two public local streets that are dead ends with one point of ingress / egress; Spirit Drive is 500 feet long.

## Drainage and Flood Control, Wetlands, and Sediment Control

The proposed development is gently sloped to the northwest. Existing property drains via sheet flow to the west and to the north where is picked up by the curbing along E Main Street. Drainage to the proposed development generally is as follows:

- A developed storm sewer system runs along the south side of E Main Street.
- On the northeast corner of the property, there is an open paved ditch junction which accepts water as an inlet off E Main Street and a pipe running off the eastern property.
- The outflow of this paved junction then runs west $\sim 640$ ' via piping to an existing drainage box and then ultimately north to Soldier Creek Tributary 4.
- Drainage which does not fall into the above system sheet flows across the proposed development and then the neighboring property to the west; ultimately making its way to curbing along E Main Street. The curbing then takes the water to the same existing drainage box as the above pipe system.

All the drainage eventually flows into Soldier Creek via Soldier Creek Tributary 4. Currently, the proposed development is undeveloped with no improvements.


Open ditch / inlet to be improved with development. Entirely enclosed with new inlet


Looking south along east boundary. Note fall towards north and west


Western edge of proposed development. Some bypass proposed to fall onto this property

The applicant has proposed that a common detention pond handle most of the drainage and a few areas where drainage would bypass the common system. The applicant has proposed the following improvements:

- A common detention pond which would accept 3.6 acres ( $65 \%$ of the 5.52 total drainage acreage). The detention pond will outflow via a piped weir and into the existing piped system on E Main Street
- Bypass areas
- The developer will also enclose the paved ditch with a junction box and inlet combination. This will be required as part of the development. 0.2 acres would flow directly onto E Main Street in the northeast corner.
- 0.34 acres a proposed to flow from 2 lots into an inlet proposed on the backside of the common detention pond. This inlet will take the water to the existing piped system on E Main Street.
- 0.92 acres are proposed to sheet flow directly to the neighboring property to the west. These will be backyards of four lots. Sheet flow would be required and not allow any concentration of flow.
- 0.46 acres are proposed to flow to the neighboring west property. Staff will require that the developer work with the property owner of the neighboring west property to understand the impacts. If needed, mitigation will be required in the form of further energy dissipation and / or distribution of the drainage flow.
The area of request has no identified flood zones or floodway as shown on the effective Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) number 40109 C 0330 H , dated December $18^{\text {th }}, 2009$.
The National Wetlands Inventory, www.fws.gov/wetlands data/Mapper.html prepared by the United States Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service, access October 15 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2019 has not identified any riparian or wetland areas:
All future development on the proposed tracts must conform to the applicable requirements of Municipal Code Chapter 13, "Drainage and Flood Control."

Resolution 84-20 requires that developers install and maintain sediment and/or erosion controls in conjunction with their construction activities. Any proposed development must conform to the applicable requirements of Municipal Code Chapter 43, "Erosion Control." Sediment control plans must be submitted to and approved by the city before any land disturbance is done on-site. The developer is responsible for the cleanup of sediment and other debris from drainage pipes, ditches, streets and abutting properties as a result of his activities.

## Easements and Right-of-Way

The required easements and existing right of way for the area of request are illustrated on the preliminary plat and will be dedicated to the city when the final plat is filed.
All easements and right of way dedications are to comply with Municipal Code Sections 38-43, 38-44, and 38-45.

Re: PC - 2047

## Date: 17 June 2020

PC-2047 is a request for a preliminary plat for a duplex development. The property is already zoned R-HD so duplexes are an allowed use.

- The property is required to meet and maintain the requirements of Midwest City Ordinances Section 15.
- Other requirements will be reviewed once design / construction plans have been submitted.
- Fire flow requirements and hydrant locations will be reviewed at a later date during the design and construction phase.

Respectfully,


Duane Helmberger
Fire Marshal
Midwest City Fire Department

The Midwest City Fire Department is committed to providing the highest level of public safety services for our community and citizens. We protect lives and property through fire suppression, emergency medical response, disaster management, fire prevention and public education.

The City of MIDWEST CITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT CURRENT PLANNING DIVISION

Grubbs Consulting Applicant:Tinker Villas ULC Phone Number: 641.5878 Address: 39004 W. Macitrtnur $\# 100$ site address: 8712 E.main Preliminary Plat Requirements/Checklist - Planning

Lot to be subdivided is less than 5 acres
V Lot to be subdivided has an area of more than 10,000 square feet

| $38-48.2$ | Zoning Compliance | R-HD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $38-48.2$ | All lots shall conform to zoning district compliance. | R |
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## The City of <br> MIDWEST CITY <br> COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT CURRENT PLANNING DIVISION

| 38-52.8(B)(4) | The hike-and-bike trail shall be designed to minimize visibility blind spots from public streets for public safety purposes. | NA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38-52.8(C)(1) | The Director of Community Services shall have the authority to determine the placement of a public hike-and-bike trail at the time of the preliminary plat review and approval. |  |
| 38-52.8(C)(2) | The location of such trails shall be safe and economical. |  |
| 38-52.8(C)(3) | No development shall interrupt future trail routes or otherwise hinder efficient public access to or from an existing or future planned trail. |  |
| 38-52.8(D)(1) | The location of trails within developments adjacent to or within a 100-year Floodplain recognized on the Trails Master Plan shall be coordinated with the Director of Community Services and shall be staked in the field by the developer and approved by the Director of Community Services prior to the submittal of a preliminary plat. |  |
| 38-52.8(D)(2) | The location of the trail shall be specified on the preliminary plat as the approved location for the hike-and-bike trail, and an easement for such shall be shown on the preliminary plat and final plat for any portions of the trail that traverse private property. |  |
| 38-52.8(E) | When development is adjacent to an undeveloped property, a pedestrian access stub-out in conjunction with a street connection to the edge of the development shall be required to allow for future access between developments as indicated on the Trails Master Plan. |  |
| 38-53.4 | Tree Canopy Management Plan |  |
| 38-53.4 | A Tree Canopy Management Plan shall be required as part of the preliminary plat. This only applies to sites five acres or larger. |  |
| 38-53.5(B)(1) | The applicant shall prepare a Tree Canopy Management Plan and shall submit the plan as part of the preliminary plat application. |  |
| 38-53.5(B)(2) | Within the Tree Canopy Management Plan, the applicant shall provide the following information: |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.5(\mathrm{~B})(2)(\mathrm{a}) \end{aligned}$ | Pre-development tree canopy coverage (as determined by the City) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.5(\mathrm{~B})(2)(\mathrm{b}) \end{aligned}$ | Post-development tree canopy coverage (as determined by the applicant) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.5(\mathrm{~B})(2)(\mathrm{c}) \end{aligned}$ | Visual identification of tree canopy to be removed. |  |
| 38-53.5(C)(1) | Tree Canopy Management Plan shall be reviewed by the Director of Community Development for compliance with all standards. |  |
| 38-53.5(C)(2) | After reviewing the Tree Canopy Management Plan, the Director of Community Development shall make a recommendation to the Planning Commission and City Council. The Director must act within 30 days of the official filing date of the preliminary plat application. |  |
| 38-53.6 | Tree Preservation Requirements |  |
| 38-53.6(A) | Option A (Standard Option) - Only trees in the following areas may be removed: |  |



| 38-53.6(A)(1) | The Tree Canopy or any tree located within any street Right-of-Way may be removed. | NA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38-53.6(A)(2) | The Tree Canopy or any tree located within any area dedicated for water, wastewater, drainage and other similar infrastructure needs may be removed. |  |
| 38-53.6(A)(3) | The Tree Canopy or any tree located within any area required by the subdivision ordinance for a site feature, such as a screening wall, may be removed. |  |
| 38-53.6(B) | Option B (Alternative Compliance) |  |
| 38-53.6(B)(1) | The applicant may elect to develop a site using the Residential Cluster Development option. |  |
| 38-53.6(B)(2) | In the design of the Tree Canopy Management Plan, if a Residential Cluster Development Option is used, only the Tree Canopy or trees within the designated open space areas shall be preserved. |  |
| 38-53.7 | Tree Mitigation Plan - Required if trees are removed prior to approval of a Tree Canopy Management Plan |  |
| 38-53.7(B)(2) | Tree Mitigation Requirements: |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.7(B)(2)(a) \end{aligned}$ | The applicant shall calculate the area of Tree Canopy that should have been preserved under Option A or Option B. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.7(B)(2)(b) \end{aligned}$ | The resulting calculation shall be the amount of Tree Canopy that shall be restored. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.7(B)(2)(\mathrm{c}) \end{aligned}$ | Replacement trees shall be required to cover an area equal to the calculated restoration area. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.7(B)(2)(d) \end{aligned}$ | The applicant shall calculate the number of replacement trees needed to cover the calculated restoration area. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.7(\mathrm{~B})(2)(\mathrm{e}) \end{aligned}$ | In calculating the area for replacement trees, the mature size of replacement trees shall be used. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.7(B)(2)(f) \end{aligned}$ | In calculating the area for replacement trees, only large trees shall be used. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.7(\mathrm{~B})(2)(\mathrm{g}) \end{aligned}$ | Tree Canopy coverage at maturity is to be obtained through the planting of 2.5 inch caliper trees at spacing that will meet the calculated restoration area. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.7(B)(2)(h) \end{aligned}$ | Replacement trees shall be a minimum 2.5 inch caliper trees. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.7(\mathrm{~B})(2)(\mathrm{i}) \end{aligned}$ | Replacement trees shall be planted at spacing that will meet the calculated restoration area. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.7(B)(2)(\mathrm{j}) \end{aligned}$ | The Tree Canopy Management Plan shall show graphically the location of each replacement tree. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.7(\mathrm{~B})(2)(\mathrm{k}) \end{aligned}$ | The number of replacement trees shall be shown in a tabular format and indicated the tree species and area of coverage assumptions for each tree species at maturity. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 53.7(\mathrm{~B})(2)(\mathrm{I}) \end{aligned}$ | Replacement trees shall be planted prior to the approval of a final plat. |  |
| 38-53.7(B)(3) | Alternative Tree Mitigation Requirements |  |



## The City of MIDWEST CITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT CURRENT PLANNING DIVISION

| Administrative |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| One digital copy of the preliminary plat | $X$ |
| Three $24 \times 36$ copies of the preliminary plat to scale | NA-allowed digital |
| Name of subdivision centered at the top of the preliminary plat. | submission |
| Name of city, county, state, section, township and range centered and printed at the top of the preliminary plat. | $x$ |
| Name and address of the owner of record, the subdivider, the owners engineer and the registered surveyor preparing the plat. | owner will chaege |
| Legal description of the property to be subdivided, including the acreage and number of proposed lots in the subdivision. | be shown on fimal |
| Key map showing the location of the property to be subdivided referenced to existing or proposed arterial streets or highways. | $x$ |

Preliminary Plat Requirements/Checklist - Zoning

| 5.14 .1 | Lot Variety Required (required for areas 5 acres or larger) | NA- Tho family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.14.1(A) | Applicability - This section only applies to single-family residential developments of 5 acres or larger. | devetop |
| 5.14.1(B)(1) | $15 \%$ of lots within a development shall be larger than the minimum lot size. Lots shall be increased at least $20 \%$ of the minimum lot size. |  |
| 5.14.1(B)(2) | $15 \%$ of lots within a development may be smaller than the minimum lot size. Lot sizes shall not be reduced greater than $20 \%$ of the minimum lot size. |  |
| 5.14.1(C) | Single-family lots shall not be smaller than 6,000 square feet. |  |
| 5.14.1(D) | Lots of various sizes shall be evenly distributed throughout a development. |  |

## Additional Notes:

The City of
MIDWEST CITY
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
ENGINEERING DIVISION

Applicant: Grubbs Consulting LLC Phone Number: Address: 8712 E Main St

## Preliminary Plat Requirements/Checklist - Engineering

The preliminary plat shall be accompanied by a statement signed by the registered engineer preparing the plat that he has, to the best of his ability, designed the subdivision in accordance with the latest subdivision regulations and in accordance with the ordinances and regulations governing the subdivision of land.

| 38-18 | Preliminary Plat: | BB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative | North arrow, scale, date, and site location map | BB |
| Administrative | The total number of lots | BB |
| Administrative | The total area of development | BB |
| Administrative | The location of proposed lots, areas in Acres and Square Feet, and dimensions. | BB |
| Administrative 38-42.3(b)(3) | The location of property lines, existing easements, buildings, fences, cemeteries or burial grounds, and other existing features within the area to be subdivided and similar facts regarding existing conditions on immediately adjacent property. | BB |
| Administrative 38-42.3(b)(3) | The location of any natural features such as water courses, water bodies, flood hazard areas, tree masses, steep slopes, or rock outcroppings within the area to be subdivided and similar facts regarding existing conditions on immediately adjacent property. | None existing in proposed area |
| Administrative $38-42.3(b)(3)$ | The location, width, and name of all existing or platted streets or other public ways (i.e. railroad and state-owned) within or immediately adjacent to the tract. | BB |
| Administrative | The location of all existing or abandoned oil or gas wells, oil or gas pipelines and other appurtenances associated with the extraction, production and distribution of petroleum products and all related easements on the site or on immediately adjacent property. | None existing in proposed area |
| 13-18.2(c) | The applicant shall furnish with the application to the city a current title commitment issued by a title insurance company authorized to do business in Oklahoma, a title opinion letter from an attorney licensed to practice in Oklahoma, or some other acceptable proof of ownership, identifying all persons having an ownership interest in the property subject to the preliminary plat. |  |
| Administrative | The legal metes and bounds of the property being developed. | BB |
| 13-69.7(1) | The area of the preliminary drainage plan in acres shown at points where storm water enters and leaves the proposed subdivision, and where drainage channels intersect roadways and at junction points. | BB |
| 13-69.7(3) | The location, size, and type of existing and proposed storm water control facilities including storm sewers, inlets, culverts, swales, channels and retention or detention ponds and areas. The approximate area in acres served by said facilities shall be shown. | BB |
| 13-69.7(4) | Special structures such as dams, spillways, dikes or levees. | BB |


| Administrative | Location of Floodplain if adjacent or within development | BB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative | Location of Wetlands if adjacent or within development. If so, the developer is required to notify the Army Corp of Engineers. | BB |
| Administrative $38-43.3(\mathrm{a})(1)$ | Show the location and size of water mains. | BB |
| Administrative $38-43.3(\mathrm{a})(1)$ | Show the location and size of wastewater mains. | BB |
| Administrative $38-43.3(\mathrm{a})(2)$ | Show the location and specifications for fire hydrant systems. | BB |
| Administrative | Finish floor elevations for all pad sites | BB |
| Administrative $13-69.7(2)$ | Drainage arrows on all lots showing the final grading and where the water will drain | BB |
| Administrative 38-54.3(c)(1) <br> 38-54.3(d)(1) <br> 38-54.4 | Required retaining walls and retaining wall easements | BB |
| Administrative | Existing contours with intervals not to exceed two (2) feet referenced to a United State Geological Survey or Geodetic Survey bench mark or monument. | BB |
| Administrative | Show the proposed street layout and right of ways. | BB |
| 38-45.4(c) | All existing arterial streets and such collector and local streets as may be necessary for convenience of traffic circulation and emergency ingress and egress. | BB |
| 38-45.4(d) | All access points to existing roadways and be of the required number. | BB |
| 38-45.4(e) | The development shall have two (2) connections to adjacent properties. |  |
| 38-45.4(n) | The names of all new proposed streets. | BB |
| 38-45.4(0) | The development shall not have any proposed cul-de-sacs longer than five hundred (500) feet in length | BB |
| 38-47 | The location and size of all proposed pedestrian crosswalks, bike trails, horse trails, or other supplementary movement systems. | BB |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38-18.2(\mathrm{a})(1) \\ & 38-44.3(\mathrm{a})(2) \end{aligned}$ | Preliminary stormwater management plan (SWMP) | BB |
| 38-44.3(e)(1) | A digital copy of the preliminary SWMP shall be submitted along with the preliminary plat. | BB |
| 38-44.3(e)(2) | The preliminary SWMP shall be labeled as "Preliminary" | BB |
| 38-44.3(e)(3) | The preliminary SWMP shall be signed, sealed, and dated by the professional engineer (P.E.) or shall contain a statement showing the professional engineer's name and license number and affirming the preliminary SWMP was prepared under the direction of the engineer and that the plan is preliminary | BB |
| 38-44.3(b)(3) | If no preliminary drainage plan is required [only upon city engineer's approval, see 38-44.44 (b)(3)]: show existing drainage patterns, runoff coefficients, and the proposed changes to these items (before and after development) |  |
| 38-44.3(c) | The preliminary SWMP must comply with the Engineering Standards Manual and construction details and the Midwest City Code of Ordinances (e.g. chapters 13 and 43), including control/sediment plans | BB |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38-18.2(\mathrm{a})(2) \\ & 38-44.4(\mathrm{a})(2) \end{aligned}$ | Preliminary drainage plan |  |


| 13-69.7(1) | The area of the preliminary drainage plan in acres shown at points where storm water enters and leaves the proposed subdivision, and where drainage channels intersect roadways and at junction points. | BB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13-69.7(3) | The location, size, and type of existing and proposed storm water control facilities including storm sewers, inlets, culverts, swales, channels and retention or detention ponds and areas. The approximate area in acres served by said facilities shall be shown. | BB |
| 13-69.7(4) | Special structures such as dams, spillways, dikes or levees. |  |
| 38-44.4(c)(1) | The preliminary drainage plan shall show the watershed affecting the development and how the runoff from the fully-developed watershed will be conveyed to, through, and from the development. | BB |
| 38-44.4(c)(2) | The preliminary drainage plan must comply with the Engineering Standards Manual and construction details and the Midwest City Code of Ordinances (e.g. chapters 13 and 43) | BB |
| 38-44.4(d)(1) | Three (3) paper copies of the preliminary drainage plan |  |
| 38-44.4(d)(2) | The preliminary drainage plan shall be labeled as "Preliminary" | BB |
| 38-44.4(d)(3) | The preliminary drainage plan shall be stamped by and dated by the engineer, professional | BB |
| 38-44.5 | If the development proposed is adjacent to or within the 100-year floodplain the following are required: | -- |
| 38-44.6(a) | No Development within a floodway. | -- |
| 38-44.6(b)(1) | All 100-year floodplains shall be maintained in an open natural condition | -- |
| 38-44.6(b)(2)(a) | The 100-year floodplain shall be dedicated on the final plat to the city as a single lot or may be owned and maintained by an HOA | -- |
| 38-44.6(b)(3)(b) | No portion of a single-family or two-family residential lot shall exist within the 100-year floodplain | -- |
| 38-44.5(b)(3)(c) | A fifteen-foot wide maintenance easement adjacent to the floodway | -- |
| 38-44.5(b)(3)(e) | All streets adjacent to a 100-year floodplain shall have a minimum ROW width of fifty (50) feet. | -- |
| 38-44.5(b)(3)(f)(2) | All streets adjacent to a 100-year floodplain shall have a minimum sixty (60) percent of the linear frontage | -- |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 44.5(b)(3)(f)(3)(a) \end{aligned}$ | Not more than one (1) cul-de-sac in a row adjacent to 100-year floodplain | -- |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 44.5(b)(3)(f)(2)(b)(1) \end{aligned}$ | A minimum fifty (50) percent of an adjacent cul-de-sac bulb shall be open to the 100-year floodplain and no residential lot shall encroach within the area between this line and the major creek. | -- |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 44.5(b)(3)(f)(2)(b)(2) \end{aligned}$ | An entry monument(s) or feature(s) as well as landscaping shall be provided at the end of the cul-de-sac and a pathway of a minimum twelve (12) feet in width shall be provided to the major creek | -- |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38-18.2(\mathrm{a})(3) \\ & 38-43.3 \end{aligned}$ | Preliminary utility plan |  |
| Administrative | The preliminary utility plan shall show the location and width of all adjacent utility easements | BB |
| 38-38.43.2(2) | Width of all proposed utility easements | BB |
| 38-43.3(a)(1) | The preliminary utility plan shall show the location and size of water mains. | BB |
| 38-43.3(a)(1) | The preliminary utility plan shall show the location and size of wastewater mains. | BB |


| 38-43.3(a)(2) | The preliminary utility plan shall include plans and specifications for fire hydrant systems. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38-43.4(b) | All water and wastewater utilities including connections within the ROW or easements shall be vested to the city. | BB |
| 38-43.4(d) | No utility or service lines shall cross another lot. | BB |
| 38-43.4(e) | Any utility adjacent to non-city government roads shall be constructed outside that ROW and in a separate easement unless agreed upon by non-city owner and Midwest City | BB |
| 38-18.2(a)(5) | Preliminary site development plan |  |
| Administrative | Finish floor elevations for all pad sites | BB |
| Administrative 13-69.7(2) | Drainage arrows on all lots showing the final grading and where the water will drain (not to drain over more than adjacent lot) | BB |
| Administrative 38-54.3(c)(1) <br> 38-54.3(d)(1) <br> 38-54.4 | Required retaining walls and retaining wall easements | BB |
| Administrative | Existing contours with intervals not to exceed two (2) feet referenced to a United State Geological Survey or Geodetic Survey bench mark or monument. | BB |
| 38-18.2(a)(6) | Street layout plan |  |
| Administrative | The classification of every street within or adjacent to the development. | BB |
| 38-45.4(b) | The streets within the development shall conform to the city's comprehensive plan. | BB |
| 38-45.4(c) | The proposed street system shall extend all existing arterial streets and such collector and local streets as may be necessary for convenience of traffic circulation and emergency ingress and egress. | BB |
| 38-45.4(d) | The street layout plan shall show all access points to existing roadways and be of the required number. | BB |
| 38-45.4(e) | The street layout plan shall have two (2) connections to adjacent properties. | BB |
| 38-45.4(n) | The street layout plan will have the names of all new proposed streets. | BB |
| 38-45.4(0) | The street layout plan shall not have any proposed cul-de-sacs longer than five hundred (500) feet in length | BB |
| 38-47 | The location, size, and easements of all proposed pedestrian crosswalks, bike trails, horse trails, or other supplementary movement systems. | BB |
| 38-18.2 (a) (7) | Street signage and striping plan |  |
| Administrative | Proposed signage of development | BB |
| Administrative | Proposed striping if required | -- |
| 38-18.2 (a) (9) | Other plans |  |
| Administrative | If fee in lieu of improvements: include quantities and cost estimates |  |
| Engineering Comments and Recommendations: |  |  |
| Associated Departments (Fire, Stormwater, and Utilities) Comments and Recommendations: |  |  |
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## REPORT SUMMARY

## Project Description

This project will develop a 5.01-acre tract of land into single-family/duplex structured housing. The subject tract currently has a single-family residence that will be demolished prior to construction beginning. The site is located on the south side of East Main Street and 0.21 miles west of North Douglas Boulevard and is a part of the Southeast Quarter of Section 35, T12N, R2W of the Indian Meridian, Oklahoma County, Oklahoma.

The North Oaks Addition is adjacent to the south, single-family is adjacent to the west and commercial development is adjacent to the east of the subject property.

This Preliminary Stormwater Management and Drainage Plan addresses the preliminary design and control of the storm water runoff for the proposed development.

## DRAINAGE SUMMARY

The site currently contains a single residence and consists of vegetative cover with moderate tree coverage. Stormwater runoff currently flows from southeast to northwest and discharges offsite at two locations. Each of the discharge locations were evaluated to determine the historic discharge amounts at these locations. The calculated discharge amount was then used as the maximum allowed discharge at those locations for the proposed development. The site is proposed to be developed in a manner as not to change the direction or rate of the historic storm water runoff.

The developments stormwater management and drainage will be designed in accordance with the City of Midwest City Drainage Ordinance.

## DETENTION AND STORM SEWER SUMMARY

Approximately 3.60 acres of the developed site will be routed through a detention pond to regulate the site runoff to be at or below that of the calculated historic discharge rate. The detention pond was sized accordingly to meet these criteria using HydroCAD software. The proposed detention pond calculations can be found in the appendix. The detention pond outlet will be tied into the existing 36 " corrugated metal pipe underground storm sewer running along the south right-ofway of east main street.

Standard street inlets will be utilized to route stormwater runoff from the street to the proposed detention pond. These inlets were sized to capture the 100-year storm event.

The existing storm sewer located at the northeast corner of the site currently has approximately 27 ft of open channel between the outlet of a 24 " corrugate metal pipe and the inlet of a 36 " corrugated metal pipe. This open channel will be removed, and a closed conduit will be installed along with a junction box in order to make all the storm sewer in this area underground.

The small area located at the southwest portion of the site draining into Patriot Drive will be allowed to pass through a 3' wide curb opening to prevent water from standing at the west end of Patriot Drive.

The below summary table compares the historic and proposed discharge amounts.

| Detention Summary Table |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Drainage Area | Release Rate (CFS) | Drainage Area | Release Rate (CFS) |
| H2 | 10.79 | $\mathrm{H} 1+\mathrm{H} 2$ | 17.53 |
| B2+B3 | 8.59 | P1+B1+B2+B3 | 17.43 |
| Difference | -2.20 | Difference | -0.10 |

## CONCLUSIONS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

The detention calculations show that the proposed development will provide for a functional drainage system that meets the City of Midwest City drainage regulations per the subdivision regulations.

It is hereby requested that the City of Midwest City accept this Preliminary Stormwater Management and Drainage Plan and approve the Preliminary Plat.

## DETENTION CALCULATIONS

DRAINAGE AREA MAPS



## HISTORIC RUNOFF WORKSHEETS

## FREEDOM VILLAS-PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE STUDY Hydrologic Runoff Worksheet

Summary
Date Modified: 6/18/2020
Drainage Area Designation:
Drainage Basin Total Area: H1

Weighted Runoff Coefficient (C): 1.92 Acres

Time of Concentration: $\quad 16.93 \mathrm{Min}$,

| $1(\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr})$ |  |  | $Q$ (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12=$ | 3.75 | Q2= | 3.60 |
| 15= | 4.51 | Q5= | 4.33 |
| $110=$ | 5.13 | Q10= | 4.93 |
| 125= | 5.95 | Q25 $=$ | 5.71 |
| $150=$ | 6.76 | Q50 $=$ | 6.49 |
| $1100=$ | 7.51 | Q100 $=$ | 7.21 |

Supporting Calculations
Weighted Runoff Coefficient Supporting Calculations

Undeveloped Land Uses:
Cultivated
Pasture/Range
Forest/Woodlands
Drainage Area (Acres)
Runoff Coefficient (C)

| 0.00 |
| :---: |
| 1.92 |
| 0.00 |

Total Area:

| 0.00 |
| :---: |
| 0.00 |
| 0.00 |
| 0.00 |
| 0.00 |
| 1.92 |


| 0.90 | 0.00 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.95 | 0.00 |
| 0.60 | 0.00 |
| 0.70 | 0.00 |
| 0.85 | 0.00 |

Weighted C
0.50

Developed Land Uses:
Commercial
Shopping Center/Industrial Rural Single Family Residential Single Family Residential Apartments

| $D A+C$ |
| :---: |
| 0.00 |
| 0.96 |
| 0.00 |


| 0.50 | 0.96 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.47 | 0.00 |

$$
-\infty
$$

Time of Concentration (Tc) Supporting Calculations
Overland Flow TC:

Reach 1: Reach 2:

$\frac{\text { Slope (\%) }}{4.05} \quad \begin{array}{r}\frac{\text { Overland }}{\text { Condition }} \\ \text { Avg. Grass }\end{array}$
$\underline{K}_{1.000} \frac{T C \text { (Min.) }}{16.93}$

Channel Flow TC:


[^2]Pipe Flow TC:
$\frac{\text { Pipe Length }}{(f t)} \frac{\text { Velocity }}{(f o s)}$
Reach 1:
Reach 2:

$\frac{0.00}{0.00}$
Total TC:
(For TC<5 min., Use $T_{C}=5 \mathrm{~min}$.)

## Runoff (Q) Supporting Calculations

Intensity $(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{A} /(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{Tc})^{\wedge} \mathrm{E} \quad(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B} \& \mathrm{E}$ obtained from table to right) Runoff $(\mathrm{Q})=\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{DA})$

| $12=$ | $\frac{1(\mathrm{in} / h r)}{3.75}$ | $\mathrm{Q} 2=$ | $\frac{\mathrm{Q}(c f s)}{3.60}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15=$ | 4.51 | $\mathrm{Q} 5=$ | 4.33 |
| $110=$ | 5.13 | $\mathrm{Q} 10=$ | 4.93 |
| $125=$ | 5.95 | $\mathrm{Q} 25=$ | 5.71 |
| $150=$ | 6.76 | $\mathrm{Q} 50=$ | 6.49 |
| 1100 | $=7.51$ | $\mathrm{Q} 100=$ | 7.21 |


| Frequency <br> (Year) | Parameters for MWC IDF Equations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | E |
| $2-$ Year | 56.43 | 111.5 | 0.81 |
| $5-$ Year | 72 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $10-$ Year | 82 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $25-$ Year | 95 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $50-$ Year | 108 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $100-$ Year | 120 | 15 | 0.8 |

$1100=7.51 \quad$ Q100 $=\quad 7.21$
(For $T c<5 \mathrm{~min}$., Use $T c=5 \mathrm{~min}$.)


PROPOSED RUNOFF WORKSHEETS

## FREEDOM VILLAS-PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE STUDY Hydrologic Runoff Worksheet

Drainage Area Designation:
Drainage Basin Total Area: Weighted Runoff Coefficient (C):
$\mathbf{P}$
3.60 Acres
0.70
10.22 Min.

| $12=$ | $\frac{l(i n / h r)}{4.66}$ | Q2 $=$ | $\frac{Q(c f s)}{11.75}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $15=$ | 5.44 | Q5 $=$ | 13.72 |
| $110=$ | 6.20 | Q10 $=$ | 15.62 |
| $125=$ | 7.18 | Q25 $=$ | 18.10 |
| $150=$ | 8.17 | Q50 | 20.58 |
| $1100=$ | 9.07 | Q100 $=$ | 22.86 |

Supporting Calculations
Weighted Runoff Coefficient Supporting Calculations

Undeveloped Land Uses:
Cultivated
Pasture/Range
Forest/Woodlands
Developed Land Uses:
Commercial
Shopping Center/Industrial
Rural Single Family Residential
Single Family Residential Apartments
Drainage Area (Acres)
$\frac{0.00}{0.00}$
0.00

| Runoff Coefficient (C) |
| :---: |
| 0.50 |
| 0.50 |
| 0.47 |

$\frac{D A \cdot C}{0.00}$
0.00
0.00


| 0.90 | 0.00 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.95 | 0.00 |
| 0.60 | 0.00 |
| 0.70 | 2.52 |
| 0.85 | 0.00 |

Time of Concentration (Tc) Supporting Calculations
Overland Flow TC:

Reach 1:
Reach 2:

| $\frac{\text { Upstm }}{\text { Elev. }}$ | $\frac{\text { Dwnstm }}{\text { Elev. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1225.48 | 1224.45 |
|  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Channel }}{\text { Lenqth (ft) }}$ | $\frac{\text { Velocity }}{(f \text { fos })}$ |
| 548.00 | 4.50 |

$$
\underline{K}_{0.511} \frac{T_{C}(\text { Min. })}{8.07}
$$

Channel Flow Tc:

|  | $\frac{\text { Channel }}{\text { Lenqth (ft) }}$ | $\frac{\text { Velocity }}{(f p s)}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reach 1: | 548.00 | 4.50 |
| Reach 2: |  |  |

$$
2.03
$$

Reach 2:

Pipe Flow TC:


Total Tc: | 0.12 |
| :---: |
| 10.00 |
| 1022 |

(For $T_{c}<5 \mathrm{~min} .$, Use $T_{c}=5 \mathrm{~min}$.)

## Runoff (Q) Supporting Calculations

Intensity $(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{A} /(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{Tc})^{\wedge} \mathrm{E} \quad(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ \& E obtained from table to right) Runoff $(\mathrm{Q})=\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{DA})$

|  | $1(\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr})$ |  | $Q$ (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12= | 4.66 | Q2= | 11.75 |
| $15=$ | 5.44 | Q5= | 13.72 |
| $110=$ | 6.20 | Q10= | 15.62 |
| 125= | 7.18 | Q25= | 18.10 |
| $150=$ | 8.17 | Q50 $=$ | 20.58 |
| $1100=$ | 9.07 | Q100 = | 22.86 |


| Frequency <br> (Year) | Parameters for MWC IDF Equations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | E |
| $2-$ Year | 56.43 | 11.5 | 0.81 |
| $5-$ Year | 72 | 15 | 0.8 |
| 10 -Year | 82 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $25-$ Year | 95 | 15 | 0.8 |
| 50 -Year | 108 | 15 | 0.8 |
| 100 -Year | 120 | 15 | 0.8 |
|  |  |  |  |

## FREEDOM VILLAS-PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE STUDY Hydrologic Runoff Worksheet

Drainage Area Designation:
Drainage Basin Total Area:
Weighted Runoff Coefficient (C):
B1
0.20 Acres

Time of Concentration:
0.70 5.44 Min.

|  | I(in/hr) |  | $Q$ (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12=$ | 5.70 | Q2 = | 0.80 |
| $15=$ | 6.44 | Q5= | 0.90 |
| $110=$ | 7.34 | Q10 $=$ | 1.03 |
| 125= | 8.50 | Q25 $=$ | 1.19 |
| $150=$ | 9.66 | Q50 $=$ | 1.35 |
| $1100=$ | 10.74 | Q100 $=$ | 1.50 |

Supporting Calculations
Weighted Runoff Coefficient Supporting Calculations
Undeveloped Land Uses:
Cultivated
Pasture/Range
Forest/Woodlands
$\frac{\text { Drainage Area (Acres) }}{\frac{0.00}{0.00}}$

| Runoff Coefficient (C) |
| :---: |
| 0.50 |
| 0.50 |
| 0.47 |


| $D A \cdot C$ |
| :---: |
| 0.00 |
| 0.00 |
| 0.00 |

Developed Land Uses:
Commercial
Shopping Center/Industrial
Rural Single Family Residential
Single Family Residential
Apartments


| 0.90 |  | 0.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.95 |  | 0.00 |
| 0.60 |  | 0.00 |
| 0.70 |  | 0.14 |
| 0.85 |  | 0.00 |
|  |  | 0.14 |
|  | Weighted C: | 0.70 |

Time of Concentration (Tc) Supporting Calculations
Overland Flow TC:

|  | $\frac{\text { Upstm }}{\text { Elev. }}$ | Dwnstm <br> Elev. | $\frac{\text { Reach }}{\text { Length (ft) }}$ | Slope (\%) | $\frac{\text { Overland }}{\text { Condition }}$ | K | TC (Min.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reach 1: | 1219.41 | 1216.14 | 96.00 | 3.41 | Residential | 0.511 | 5.44 |
| Reach 2: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Channel Flow TC:


$$
0.00
$$0.00

Pipe Flow Tc.


## Runoff (Q) Supporting Calculations

Intensity $(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{A} /(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{Tc})^{\wedge} \mathrm{E}$ Runoff $(\mathrm{Q})=\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{DA})$

| 12 | $=\frac{1(\mathrm{in} / h r)}{5.70}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 15 | $=$ |
| 110 | $=$ |
| 125 | $=$ |
| 154 | 8.34 |
| 150 | $=$ |
| 1100 | $=$ |
|  | 9.66 |
|  |  |


|  | $\frac{Q \text { (cfs) }}{0.80}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Q2 | $=$ |
| Q5 | $=0.90$ |
| Q10 | $=$ |
| Q25 | $=$ |
| Q50 | $=$ |
| Q100 | $=1.19$ |
|  | 1.35 |

$$
\frac{0.00}{\text { Total } T_{c}:} \frac{0.00}{5.44}
$$

Q100 =

| Frequency | Parameters for MWC IDF Equations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Year) | A | B | E |
| 2-Year | 56.43 | 11.5 | 0.81 |
| 5-Year | 72 | 15 | 0.8 |
| 10-Year | 82 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $25-$ Year | 95 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $50-$ Year | 108 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $100-$ Year | 120 | 15 | 0.8 |

## FREEDOM VILLAS-PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE STUDY Hydrologic Runoff Worksheet

## Summary

Drainage Area Designation:
Drainage Basin Total Area:
Weighted Runoff Coefficient (C):
B2
0.70

Time of Concentration: $\quad 5.53 \mathrm{Min}$.

|  | $\frac{1(i n / h r)}{5.68}$ | Q2 $=$ | $\frac{Q(c f s)}{1.35}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $12=$ | 6.42 | Q5 $=$ | 1.53 |
| $110=$ | 7.31 | Q10 $=$ | 1.74 |
| $125=$ | 8.47 | Q25 $=$ | 2.02 |
| $150=$ | 9.63 | Q50 | 2.29 |
| $1100=$ | 10.70 | Q100 $=$ | 2.55 |

Supporting Calculations
Weighted Runoff Coefficient Supporting Calculations

Undeveloped Land Uses:
Cultivated
Pasture/Range
Forest/Woodlands

| Drain | Area | Runoff Coefficient (C) | DA* ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 |
|  | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 |
|  | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.00 |
|  | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.00 |
|  | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 |
|  | 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.00 |
|  | 0.34 | 0.70 | 0.24 |
|  | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.00 |
| Total Area: | 0.34 |  | 0.24 |
|  |  | Weig | 0.70 |

Time of Concentration (Tc) Supporting Calculations
Overland Flow TC:

Reach 1:
Reach 2


Channel Flow TC:

|  | $\frac{\text { Channel }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Velocity }}{}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reach 1: | 183.00 | $\frac{\text { Lengs) }}{3.50}$ |
| Reach 2: |  |  |0.87

Reach 2
TC:
Pipe Flow TC:


Runoff (Q) Supporting Calculations
Intensity $(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{A} /(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{Tc})^{\wedge} \mathrm{E} \quad(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B} \& \mathrm{E}$ obtained from table to right Runoff $(\mathrm{Q})=\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{DA})$

|  | $1(\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr})$ |  | Q (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12=$ | 5.68 | Q2 = | 1.35 |
| $15=$ | 6.42 | Q5 = | 1.53 |
| $110=$ | 7.31 | Q10 $=$ | 1.74 |
| 125= | 8.47 | Q25= | 2.02 |
| $150=$ | 9.63 | Q50 $=$ | 2.29 |
| $1100=$ | 10.70 | Q100= | 2.55 |


| Frequency <br> (Year) | Parameters for MWC IDF Equations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | E |
| 2 -Year | 56.43 | 11.5 | 0.81 |
| $5-$ Year | 72 | 15 | 0.8 |
| 10-Year | 82 | 15 | 0.8 |
| 25 -Year | 95 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $50-$ Year | 108 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $100-$ Year | 120 | 15 | 0.8 |



Q100 $=\quad 2.55$

Overland
Condition $\underline{K}_{0.511} \frac{T_{C}(\text { Min. })}{4.66}$ Coveloped Land Uses. Commercial
Shopping Center/Industria Rural Single Family Residential Single Family Residential Apartments

Weighted C: 0.70

|  | $\frac{\text { Upstm }}{\text { Elev. }}$ | $\frac{\text { Dwnstm }}{\text { Elev. }}$ | $\frac{\text { Reach }}{\text { Length (ft) }}$ | Slope (\%) | Overland Condition | K | Tc (Min.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reach 1: | 1215.50 | 1212.10 | 74.17 | 4.58 | Residential | 0.511 | 4.66 |
| Reach 2: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## FREEDOM VILLAS-PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE STUDY

## Hydrologic Runoff Worksheet

Summary
Drainage Area Designation:
Drainage Basin Total Area:
Weighted Runoff Coefficient (C): 0.70
B2+B3 Combined Bypass to West 1.26 Acres

Time of Concentration:
8.08 Min.

|  | $1(i n / h r$ ) |  | Q (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12=$ | 5.07 | Q2= | 4.47 |
| $15=$ | 5.84 | Q5= | 5.15 |
| $110=$ | 6.66 | Q10 $=$ | 5.87 |
| $125=$ | 7.71 | Q25 $=$ | 6.80 |
| $150=$ | 8.77 | Q50 $=$ | 7.73 |
| $1100=$ | 9.74 | Q100 $=$ | 8.59 |

Supporting Calculations
Weighted Runoff Coefficient Supporting Calculations

Undeveloped Land Uses:
Cultivated
Pasture/Range
Forest/Woodlands
Developed Land Uses:
Commercial
Shopping Center/Industrial
Rural Single Family Residential
Single Family Residential Apartments


| 0.90 | 0.00 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.95 | 0.00 |
| 0.60 | 0.00 |
| 0.70 | 0.88 |
| 0.85 | 0.00 |
|  | 0.88 |

Weighted C:
0.70
$\frac{D A \cdot C}{0.00}$
0.50
0.00

Overland
$\frac{\text { Condition }}{\text { Residentia }}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Residential } & 0.511 & 3.29\end{array}$

$$
\underline{K}_{0.511} \begin{array}{ll} 
& \text { Tc (Min.) }) \\
0.511 & 3.29
\end{array}
$$

Reach 1 Reach 2:

Channel Flow TC:
Channel Velocity

Reach 1:
Reach 2:

0.00
0.00

Pipe Flow Tc:


## Runoff (Q) Supporting Calculations

Intensity $(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{A} /(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{Tc})^{\wedge} \mathrm{E} \quad(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B} \& E$ obtained from table to right Runoff $(\mathrm{Q})=\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{DA})$

|  | $1(\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr})$ |  | $Q$ (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12=$ | 5.07 | Q2= | 4.47 |
| $15=$ | 5.84 | Q5= | 5.15 |
| $110=$ | 6.66 | Q10= | 5.87 |
| $125=$ | 7.71 | Q25= | 6.80 |
| $150=$ | 8.77 | Q50= | 7.73 |
| \|100= | 9.74 | Q100= | 8.59 |


| Frequency <br> (Year) | Parameters for MWC IDF Equations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | E |
| 2 -Year | 56.43 | 11.5 | 0.81 |
| 5 -Year | 72 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $10-$ Year | 82 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $25-$ Year | 95 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $50-$ Year | 108 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $100-$ Year | 120 | 15 | 0.8 |


(For $T c<5$ min., Use $T c=5 \mathrm{~min}$.)
$1100=\quad 9.74 \quad$ Q100 $=\quad 8.59$

HYDROCAD REPORTS


## Area Listing (all nodes)

| Area <br> (acres) | C | Description <br> (subcatchment-numbers) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.010 | 0.70 | (B1, B2, B3, P) |
| 5.010 | 0.70 | TOTAL AREA |

Time span $=0.00-3.00 \mathrm{hrs}, \mathrm{dt}=0.01 \mathrm{hrs}, 301$ points
Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 $\times$ Tc
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment B1: Bypass 1

SubcatchmentB2: Bypass 2

SubcatchmentB3: Bypass 3

SubcatchmentP: Proposed to Pond

Pond DP: Proposed Detention Pond Peak Elev=1,212.82' Storage=9,386 cf Inflow=19.79 cfs 0.409 af 15.0" Round Culvert $\mathrm{n}=0.012 \mathrm{~L}=40.0^{\prime} \mathrm{S}=0.0027$ '/' Outlow=10.17 cfs 0.409 af

Link C: Combined Offsite Runoff

Total Runoff Area $=5.010$ ac Runoff Volume $=0.577$ af Average Runoff Depth $=1.38^{\prime \prime}$ $100.00 \%$ Pervious $=5.010$ ac $0.00 \%$ Impervious $=0.000$ ac

Summary for Subcatchment B1: Bypass 1
Runoff $=1.11$ cfs @ 0.09 hrs, Volume $=0.023$ af, Depth= $1.38^{\prime \prime}$

Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc, Time Span= 0.00-3.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs OK-Edmond 100-Year Duration=15 min, Inten=7.90 in/hr

| Area (ac) C Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.200 \quad 0.70$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.200 |  | 100.00\% Pervious Area |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Tc} \\ (\mathrm{~min}) \end{array}$ | Length (feet) | Slope (ft/ft) | Velocity (ft/sec) | Capacity <br> (cfs) | Description |
| 5.4 |  |  |  |  | Direct Entry |

Subcatchment B1: Bypass 1


## Summary for Subcatchment B2: Bypass 2

Runoff $=1.90$ cfs @ 0.10 hrs , Volume $=0.039$ af, Depth $=1.38^{\prime \prime}$

Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc, Time Span= $0.00-3.00 \mathrm{hrs}, \mathrm{dt}=0.01 \mathrm{hrs}$ OK-Edmond 100 -Year Duration $=15 \mathrm{~min}$, Inten $=7.90 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$

| Area (ac) C Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.340 \quad 0.70$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.340 |  | 100.00\% Pervious Area |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Tc} \\ (\mathrm{~min}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Length (feet) | Slope (ft/ft) | Velocity (ft/sec) | Capacity <br> (cfs) | Description |
| 5.5 |  |  |  |  | Direct Entry |

Subcatchment B2: Bypass 2


## Summary for Subcatchment B3: Bypass 3

Runoff $=5.13 \mathrm{cfs} @ 0.14 \mathrm{hrs}$, Volume= $\quad 0.106$ af, Depth $=1.38^{\prime \prime}$

Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc, Time Span= $0.00-3.00 \mathrm{hrs}, \mathrm{dt}=0.01 \mathrm{hrs}$ OK-Edmond 100-Year Duration=15 min, Inten=7.90 in/hr

| Area (ac) C Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.920 \quad 0.70$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.920 |  | 100.00\% Pervious Area |  |  |  |
| Tc (min) | Length (feet) | Slope (ft/ft) | Velocity (ft/sec) | Capacity (cfs) | Description |
| 8.1 |  |  |  |  | Direct Entry |

Subcatchment B3: Bypass 3


## Summary for Subcatchment P: Proposed to Pond

Runoff $=\quad 19.79$ cfs @ 0.17 hrs, Volume $=0.409$ af, Depth $=1.38^{\prime \prime}$

Runoff by Rational method, Rise/Fall=1.0/1.0 xTc, Time Span= $0.00-3.00 \mathrm{hrs}, \mathrm{dt}=0.01 \mathrm{hrs}$ OK-Edmond 100-Year Duration=15 min, Inten=7.90 in/hr

| Area (ac) C Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3.550 \quad 0.70$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.550 |  | 100.00\% Pervious Area |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Tc} \\ (\mathrm{~min}) \end{array}$ | Length (feet) | Slope <br> (ft/ft) | Velocity (ft/sec) | Capacity (cfs) | Description |
| 10.2 |  |  |  |  | Direct Entry |

## Subcatchment P: Proposed to Pond



## Summary for Pond DP: Proposed Detention Pond



Primary OutFlow Max=10.17 cfs @ 0.33 hrs HW=1,212.82' (Free Discharge)
L-1 $^{2}$ Culvert (Barrel Controls 10.17 cfs @ 8.29 fps )

Pond DP: Proposed Detention Pond


Summary for Link C: Combined Offsite Runoff

| Inflow Area | $=$ | 5.010 ac, | $0.00 \%$ | Impervious, Inflow Depth $=1.38 "$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Inflow | $=$ | $17.43 \mathrm{cfs} @$ | 0.25 hrs, Volume $=$ | 0.577 af |
| Primary | $=$ | $17.43 \mathrm{cfs} @$ | 0.25 hrs, Volume $=$ | 0.577 af , Atten $=0 \%$, Lag $=0.0 \mathrm{~min}$ |

Primary outflow $=$ Inflow, Time Span $=0.00-3.00 \mathrm{hrs}, \mathrm{dt}=0.01 \mathrm{hrs}$
Link C: Combined Offsite Runoff


## Summary for Pond DP: Proposed Detention Pond



Primary OutFlow Max=10.70 cfs @ 0.49 hrs HW=1,213.04' (Free Discharge)
L-1=Culvert (Barrel Controls 10.70 cfs @ 8.72 fps )

Pond DP: Proposed Detention Pond


置 Inflow Primary

## DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

## FREEDOM VILLAS-PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE STUDY Hydrologic Runoff Worksheet

Drainage Area Designation:
Drainage Basin Total Area:
Weighted Runoff Coefficient (C):
Time of Concentration: $\quad 10.22 \mathrm{Min}$.

| $1(i n / h r)$ |  |  | Q (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12=$ | 4.66 | Q2= | 11.75 |
| $15=$ | 5.44 | Q5= | 13.72 |
| $110=$ | 6.20 | Q10 $=$ | 15.62 |
| 125= | 7.18 | Q25 $=$ | 18.10 |
| $150=$ | 8.17 | Q50 $=$ | 20.58 |
| $1100=$ | 9.07 | Q100 = | 22.86 |

Supporting Calculations
Weighted Runoff Coefficient Supporting Calculations
Undeveloped Land Uses:
Cultivated
Pasture/Range
Forest/Woodlands

| Drainage Area (Acres) |
| :---: |
| 0.00 |
| 0.00 |
| 0.00 |


| Runoff Coefficient (C) |
| :---: |
| 0.50 |
| 0.50 |
| 0.47 |

$\frac{D A \cdot C}{0.00}$

Developed Land Uses:
Commercial
Shopping Center/Industrial Rural Single Family Residential Single Family Residential Apartments


| 0.90 | 0.00 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.95 | 0.00 |
| 0.60 | 0.00 |
| 0.70 | 2.52 |
| 0.85 | 0.00 |

Weighted C: 0.70
Time of Concentration (Tc) Supporting Calculations
Overland Flow TC:

|  | $\frac{\text { Upstm }}{\text { Elev. }}$ | $\frac{\text { Dwnstm }}{\text { Elev. }}$ | $\frac{\text { Reach }}{\text { Length (ft) }}$ | $\frac{\text { Slope (\%) }}{1220}$ | $\frac{\text { Overland }}{\text { Condition }}$ | $\underline{K}_{0.511}$ | $\frac{\text { Tc (Min.) }}{8.07}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reach 1: | 1225.48 | 1224.45 | 128.00 | 0.80 | Residential |  |  |
| Reach 2: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Channel Flow TC:

|  | $\frac{\text { Channel }}{\text { Length (ft) }}$ | $\frac{\text { Velocity }}{\left(\frac{\text { fos) }}{}\right.}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reach 1: | 548.00 | 4.50 |
| Reach 2: |  |  |

Reach 2:

(For $T_{c}<5 \mathrm{~min} .$, Use $T_{c}=5 \mathrm{~min}$.)

## Runoff (Q) Supporting Calculations

Intensity $(1)=\mathrm{A} /(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{Tc})^{\wedge} \mathrm{E} \quad(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ \& E obtained from table to right) Runoff $(\mathrm{Q})=\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{DA})$

|  | $1(\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr})$ |  | Q (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12=$ | 4.66 | Q2= | 11.75 |
| $15=$ | 5.44 | Q $5=$ | 13.72 |
| $110=$ | 6.20 | Q10 $=$ | 15.62 |
| 125= | 7.18 | Q25= | 18.10 |
| $150=$ | 8.17 | Q50 $=$ | 20.58 |
| $1100=$ | 9.07 | Q100= | 22.86 |


| Frequency <br> (Year) | Parameters for MWC IDF Equations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | E |
| $2-$ Year | 56.43 | 11.5 | 0.81 |
| $5-$ Year | 72 | 15 | 0.8 |
| 10 -Year | 82 | 15 | 0.8 |
| 25 -Year | 95 | 15 | 0.8 |
| $50-$ Year | 108 | 15 | 0.8 |
| 100 -Year | 120 | 15 | 0.8 |

$1100=9.07 \quad$ Q100 $=\quad 22.86$
Pipe Flow TC:

|  | $\frac{\text { Pipe Length }}{}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{(f t)}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Velocity }}{(f f s)}$ |  |
| Reach 1: | 55.00 | 7.50 |  |
| Reach 2: |  |  |  |

## Storm Sewer Structure Capacity Worksheet

## Inlet Capacity Summary

(2) STD. DESIGN 2-1 INLETS $=26.4$ CFS $>22.86$ CFS (DA P Q100)

Pipe Capacity Summary
CAPACITY 18 " RCP @ $1.10 \%=11.97$ CFS $>11.43$ CFS ( $1 / 2$ OF DA P Q100)
CAPACITY $24^{\prime \prime}$ RCP @ $1.0 \%=24.57$ CFS $>22.86$ CFS (DA P Q100)
Inlet Capacity Supporting Information

| STD Inlet No. | Grate Capacity (CFS) | Hood Capacity (CFS) | Total Capacity (CFS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-0 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 8.2 |
| 2-1 | 3.2 | 10.0 | 13.2 |
| 2-2 | 3.2 | 15.0 | 18.2 |
| 2-3 | 3.2 | 20.0 | 23.2 |
| 2-4 | 3.2 | 25.0 | 28.2 |
| 2-5 | 3.2 | 30.0 | 33.2 |

## Pipe Capacity Supporting Calculations

Pipe Capacity calculated by Manning's Equation $=\left(1.49^{*} A R^{\wedge}(2 / 3) S^{\wedge}(1 / 2)\right) / n$
Required head calculated using Diameter plus 1.5 times Velocity Head $=D+\left(1.5^{+}\left(V^{\wedge} 2\right) / 2 g\right)$
Pipe Capacity
Pipe Diameter (D):
Box Span:Inches
Box Rise
Material:
Slope:
Manning's n:
Area (A):
Wetted Permeter (P):
Hydraulic Radius (A/P):
Velocity (V):
Pipe Capacity
Pipe Diameter (D):
Box Span:
Box Rise
Material:
Slope
Manning's n:
Area (A):
Wetted Perimeter (P):
Hydraulic Radius (A/P):
Velocity (V):


| Flume 1 Weir Capacity Worksheet |
| :---: |
| Weir Capacity Summary |
| CAPACITY OF $3^{\prime}$ WIDE CURB OPENING $=3.53$ CFS $>3.29$ CFS (DA 1 Q100) |
| Weir Capacity Supporting Calculations |
| Weir Capacity calculated by $\mathrm{Q}=3.33 \mathrm{bH}{ }^{\wedge}(3 / 2)$ |
| Weir Capacity |
| b:-7-7.0] |
| Capacity (Q):--- ${ }^{\text {3.53 }}$ CFS |
| Q100: $\quad 3.29$ CFS |
| Depth: $\quad 5.59$ Inches |



Storm Sewer Structure Capacity Worksheet
Inlet Capacity Summary
REFER TO FIELD INLET WIER CAPACITY WORKSHEET

Pipe Capacity Summary
CAPACITY $24^{\prime \prime}$ RCP @ $0.50 \%=17.38$ CFS $>13.25$ CFS (DA B2 Q100 + DETENTION POND PEAK Q100 RELEASE)

Pipe Capacity Supporting Calculations
Pipe Capacity calculated by Manning's Equation $=\left(1.49^{*} A R^{\wedge}(2 / 3) S^{\wedge}(1 / 2)\right) / n$
Required head calculated using Diameter plus 1.5 times Velocity Head $=D+\left(1.5^{*}\left(V^{\wedge} 2\right) / 2 g\right)$

| Pipe Capacity |  | Pipe Capacity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe Diameter (D): | 24]Inches | Pipe Diameter (D): | 30 Inches |
| Box Span: | 0 Feet | Box Span: | 0 Feet |
| Box Rise: | 0 Feet | Box Rise: | 0 Feet |
| Material: | RCP | Material: | RCP |
| Slope: | 0.50\% | Slope: | 0.50\% |
| Manning's n: | 0.012 | Manning's n: | 0.012 |
| Area (A): | 3.14 Square Feet | Area (A): | 4.91 Square Feet |
| Wetted Perimeter (P): | 6.28 Feet | Wetted Perimeter (P): | 7.85 Feet |
| Hydraulic Radius (A/P): | 0.50 Feet | Hydraulic Radius (A/P): | 0.63 Feet |
| Velocity (V): | $5.53 \mathrm{Ft} / \mathrm{s}$ | Velocity (V): | $6.42 \mathrm{Ft} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Capacity (Q): | 17.38 CFS | Capacity (Q): | 31.51 CFS |
| Required Head |  | Required Head |  |
| Required Head: | 2.71 Feet | Required Head: | 3.46 Feet |


| Field Inlet Weir Opening Capacity Worksheet |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Weir Capacity Summary |  |
| CAPACITY OF 2.5' WIDE \& $6^{\prime \prime}$ ' TALL FILED INLET $=2.94$ CFS $>2.55$ CFS (DA B2) |  |
| Weir Capacity Supporting Calculations |  |
| Weir Capacity calculated by $Q=3.33 b H^{\wedge}(3 / 2)$ |  |
| Weir Capacity |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{b}:\{ \\ & \mathrm{H}: \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.5 \mathrm{ft} \\ 0.5 \mathrm{Ft} \end{array}$ |
| Capacity (Q): | 2.94 CFS |
| Q100: | 2.55 CFS |
| Depth: | 5.19 Inches |

The City of MIDWEST CITY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Billy Harless, Community Development Director

To: Chairman and Planning Commission
From: Billy Harless, Community Development Director
Date: July 7, 2020
Subject: Discussion and consideration of an ordinance amending Appendix A, Zoning Regulations, of the Midwest City Code; by amending Section 4.5.2, Light Industrial: Restricted; Section 4.9.2, Use Chart and providing for repealer and severability and setting an effective date.

In July of 2019, staff received an application to rezone a parcel from C-3, Community Commercial to SPUD, to allow the use of marijuana processing. Currently, the Zoning Ordinance only allows marijuana processing in the I-1, I-2 and I-3 Industrial Districts. In reviewing this application, staff learned about some of the equipment that is used for marijuana processing is not as intensive as typical industrial equipment and machinery. The City Council took no action on that item to allow staff to research marijuana processing and determine if an amendment to our ordinances may be necessary.

Over the last year, staff has researched marijuana processing and consulted with other municipalities regarding their experiences and ordinances. Staff attended the regular APTAC (Areawide Planning and Technical Advisory Committee) meeting at ACOG (Association of Central Oklahoma Governments) on November 14, 2019. During this meeting, staff from various central Oklahoma communities discussed questions and concerns regarding medical marijuana. Midwest City staff asked those in attendance how they were approaching the various forms of processing within their zoning ordinance. Staff from communities including Del City, Edmond and El Reno stated that they too have realized that different forms of processing may be appropriate uses in zoning districts other than just industrial but had so far not made changes to their ordinances regarding processing.

The ordinance prepared for this meeting adds light processing in the Light Industrial: Restricted use unit classification and requires a special use permit for all Light Industrial: Restricted uses in the C-3, zoning district. Light Industrial: Restricted are allowed by right in the C-4, I-1, I-2 and I-3 zoning districts. Special use permit applications go before the Planning Commission for a recommendation and City Council for approval.

This process will allow staff to require that the applicant submit details of the processing equipment to be used. If the special use permit is approved, the building and fire inspectors as well as planning manager will make annual inspections of the facility as required by OMMA for the Certificate of Compliance. During these inspections, the building inspector and fire inspector will be able to view the equipment being used to ensure that it is consistent with the approved special use permit.

Section 7.6 .5 of the Zoning Ordinance states that if the Community Development Director finds that the occupancy does not comply with the special use permit, it can be referred to the City Council for review. This section also requires that modification of an existing special use permit would require a new application and hearings before the Planning Commission and City Council.

This proposed ordinance amendment was recommended for approval by the Ordinance Review Committee on May 28, 2020. Notice of this amendment was published in the Journal Record. Action is at the discretion of the Planning Commission and City Council.


Billy Harlest, AICP
Community Development Director
KG

ORDINANCE NO.

## AN ORDINANCE AMENDING APPENDIX A, ZONING REGULATIONS, OF THE MIDWEST CITY CODE; BY AMENDING SECTION 4.5.2, LIGHT INDUSTRIAL: RESTRICTED; SECTION 4.9.2, USE CHART AND PROVIDING FOR REPEALER AND SEVERABILITY.

SECTION 1. That Appendix A, Zoning Regulations, of the Midwest City Code, is hereby amended by amending Section 4.5.2, Light Industrial: Restricted, as follows:

Establishments engaged in the manufacture, assembly, research, or processing with all operations and processes entirely within an enclosed structure. There is no outdoor storage of raw materials and products.

Establishments have no outdoor industrial wastewater treatment system and produce no airborne emissions, objectionable noise, glare, odor, vibrations, smoke or dust associated with the industrial operation.

Typical uses include, but are not limited to, bakery employing more than five (5) fulltime employees; book binder; cabinet shop; clothing manufacturing; electronic equipment assembly and manufacturing; furniture upholstering; ice plant; laundry and dry cleaning plant employing more than five (5) full time people; printing plant; engraving plant; instrument and meter manufacture; mattress renovation; optical goods manufacture; photographic equipment manufacture; collection and compression of aluminum cans and glass for recycling. Also to include any plant extraction or processing that is deemed less hazardous than stated or accounted for in the International Building and Fire Codes. Examples of which include but are not limited to cold water washing and heat press processing.

Any Light Industrial: Restricted use requiring a Special Use Permit must apply for such permit in accordance with Section 7.6 of the Zoning Ordinance and any specific condition imposed on such use by the City Council must be adhered to during the operation of such use. If any specific conditions of an approved Special Use Permit are not adhered to, the Special Use Permit shall expire or the applicant must apply to amend the Special Use Permit in accordance with Section 7.6.5 of the Zoning Ordinance.

SECTION 2. That Appendix A, Zoning Regulations, of the Midwest City Code, is hereby amended by amending Section 4.9.2, Use Chart, as follows:

For line 4.5.2 a "S" will be shown for the following zoning district to indicate that a Special Use Permit is required: C-3

SECTION 3. REPEALER. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SECTION 4. SEVERABILITY. If any section, sentence, clause or portion of this ordinance is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional, such portion shall be deemed a separate,
distinct and independent provision and such holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Mayor and Council of the City of Midwest City, Oklahoma, on the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2020.

THE CITY OF MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA

MATTHEW D. DUKES II, Mayor

## ATTEST:

SARA HANCOCK, City Clerk
APPROVED as to form and legality this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2020.


[^0]:    - Choose from numerous designer floor plans featuring one, two, and three
    everything to fit your lifestyle.

[^1]:    The Midwest City Fire Department is committed to providing the highest level of public safety services for our community and citizens. We protect lives and property through fire suppression, emergency medical response, disaster management, fire prevention and public education.

[^2]:    0.00

